



## ***APPENDIX 10B***

### ***Tables***

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Beveridge, David & Elizabeth Alma, WV	C859, C1169 20141216-5178, 20141216-4008	WV-DO-SHC- 047.000 & 048.000	Sherwood Lateral 8.39	The pipeline route should be modified to move the pipeline to the portion of the meadow nearest to the house to allow a drilling site only on the portion of the meadow furthest from the house.	Rerouting Map WV-DO-SHC-048.
Helmick, Larry Sisterville, WV	C467, C785 20141201-5103, 20141210-5086	WV-TY-SCH- 080.340, 350 & WV-TY-SCH- 081.000 & 082	Sherwood Lateral 27.0	Reroute to avoid cutting through middle of properties.	The current alignment was selected for being an equal distance from all residences in this area. Landowner has existing pipelines through the middle of the property, and the proposed route is adjacent through his property. Any reroute will impact multiple additional landowners.
Meyer, Robert Clarington, OH	C857 20141216-5225	APN: 280200160000	Sherwood Lateral Offline	My brother, Charles and I are owners of 250 acres of farmland used for raising beef cattle. My brother and I are more than willing to discuss possible routing locations thru our property with no intentions of impeding the impending progress of the overall project.	Offline
Gregg Smith Maplewood Farm Smithburg, WV	20150120-0104	Unknown	Sherwood Lateral Offline	My home is the family farm on Elijia G Smith on Smith Creek in Doddridge County. My ancestor Asa Bee was one of the first settlers here with his family back in the 1700's. Before that my ancestors lived here long before the vikings. Since wildcat drillers have come to area, crimes of all kinds have increased. Will impede future and present farm operations. Will cause damaging & dangerous erosion, will bring more trespassers to property that will harm property and family. Every pipeline have had on property has leaked which harmed property, will cut farm in half, no offer been made that comes close to the present and	Rover is unable to identify this tract as crossed by proposed Rover Pipeline. No contact information was provided to follow-up with commenter for clarification. All properties under the ownership of a landowner with the last name of Smith in Doddridge County have provided consent to survey.

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations	Comment ID FERC Comment ID	Tract	Segment / MP	Comment	Resolution
				future land use loss.	
Nichol, Philip St. Clairsville, OH	C1150 20141218-5236	APN: 51- 00156.001	Clarington Lateral Offline	As presently projected, the pipeline route will intersect a recently coal strip-mined area just to the west of my property. In this path are several OEPA, ODNR, and ACOE stream areas and pond mitigation areas. During the mining of this area, utmost care was taken to preserve the water quality by the previous mentioned entities.	Offline

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Roth, Henry Wheeling, WV	C343, C473 20141027-0036, 20141202-5091	WV-MA-ML- 046.000, WV- MA-ML- 044.000	Majorsville Lateral 8.5	Cutting of 200-year-old trees. Route should be routed into hay field.	During initial routing, many adjustments were made to accommodate this landowner, and stay off of Mr. Roth's property as much as possible. The current route is aligned on the southern tip of Mr. Roth's property, and minimizes impact to the larger portion of the trees he is concerned about. A reroute farther south is not possible due to a large ravine and consequent constructability issues.
Traylor, Joel Shadyside, OH	C821 20141211-5163	OH-BE-ML- 010.000 , 011.000, 011.340, 012.000	Majorsville Lateral 12.7	Reroute to minimize impacts on New Life Fellowship Church properties.	This tract is in an extremely high population area near the Ohio River, with extreme terrain. Rover is consulting with the church to minimize any potential impacts during construction or operation of the pipeline. A reroute would impact at least 4 additional ephemeral streams and bring 6 more residences within close proximity to the proposed centerline.
Dewey, Barbara Monroe, Township, Harrison County, Ohio	C870 20141215-0045	OH-HR- 042.510	Supply Connector 14.5	A shorter northern route would take it through meadows and our neighbor's aquaculture pond, which he frequently drains and scrapes anyway.	Proposing reroute to minimize impact. Map OH-HR-042.510.
Stillwater, JD & Ann Monroe, Township – Harrison, County	C466, C496, C800 20141201-5013, 20141203-5011, 20141201-5013	OH-HR- 042.510.310	Supply Connector 14.5	Go north along to-be-constructed UEO pipeline.	Line rerouted to avoid tract. Map OH-HR-042.510.310.
Harris, Dawn Richmond, OH	C164 20140922-5120	OH-JE-HL- 058.000, 059, 060	Burgettstown Lateral 26.5	Crossing of the forested properties.	Landowner is requesting that we relocate the pipeline 800'-1,000' to the south. The new location is

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
					in a low lying area, wetlands and parallel stream. Re-route will impact (3) additional landowners. Map OH-JE-HL-058.000
O'Neill, Alex St. Clairsville, OH	C858 20141216-5164	Various sites, Murray Energy Corporation	Supply Laterals 27-29	Murray is willing to agree to conversations with Rover in an attempt to relocate the proposed pipeline and compressor station to a location that will not be impacted by future mining operations.	Currently working with Murray Energy to conduct surveys and agree on routing. Meetings are ongoing and have been very productive. Murray Energy owns many tracts along the Supply Laterals.
Sherry Miller Sherrodsville, OH	20150213-5166		Mainlines A and B MP 22.0	Our home is located on just 6 ½ acres in Orange Township, Carroll County. Rover wants to put their two 42" pipelines across the corner of our property, straight through the middle of our neighbor's property and up the middle of the 5 acres of land beside our property. We have a small farm and the destruction from these pipelines going in will ruin it all. The lines will be within feet of our home, our garage, our barn, and our yard where our kids play. Rover wants to move our animals, dig our dead pets up, plow over 20 of our Dawn Redwood trees. We get a different answer to our questions every time we ask. I think the safest way to transport all this natural gas is by trucks, pipelines of these size just will not work anywhere in our area; there are too many people's homes in their path.	Rover will air bridge over a dog cemetery and reduce temporary workspace, described in plat issued to landowner. Current routing is 30 ft from barn and 100 ft from house with temporary work space and 200 feet within permanent easement. Crossing of agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.
Lahr, Terrence Bethlehem Township, Navarre, OH	C393, C832 20141107-5164, 20141215-5021	OH-ST- 024.000	Mainlines A and B 44.0	Requested reroute attached to avoid future building site.	Best route selected for area. A reroute would impact 5 other landowners in a congested area.
Sautter, Greg Franklin Township, Wayne County, OH	NA11 20141222-4005	OH-WA- 052.510	Mainlines A and B 66.4	Back in late October a new survey was done, which moves the pipeline very, very close to our house, through the corner of	Rerouted to avoid landowner issues. Map OH-WA-052.510.

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations	Comment ID FERC Comment ID	Tract	Segment / MP	Comment	Resolution
				the septic system and through the corner of the geothermal system we have, the power line, plus the septic drain line, fences and so forth.	
Alsdorf, Judy & Dawson Franklin, Township, Wooster, OH	C835 20141215-5038	OH-WA- 052.516	Mainlines A and B 67.5	Pipeline crosses a potential historic Indian village or burial mound.	No evidence of a mound or village site was encountered in the Project study corridor. A reroute would impact 7 small tracts to avoid this parcel.

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Maurer, Roger & David Wooster, Township, Wayne, County, Ohio	C1157 20141222-4005 20141218-0057	OH-WA- 052.536	Mainlines A and B 69.5	The proposed pipeline is going to be within 150' of an oilfield waste injection well. This injection well is not shown on either the ET Rover aerial or the ET Rover topographic maps. This well is located In Section 29 of Wooster Township on 15 acres at the corner of Batdorf Road and West Tolbert Road. One of these injection wells, in the Youngstown, Ohio area, has been determined to be the cause of several small earthquakes in the past several years.. An earthquake could compromise the integrity of the welds connecting the two pipes.	Proposed reroute not feasible. The area is very congested. There is a subdivision to the north and Killbuck Marsh Wildlife Area to the South, plus a well 135" from the workspace.
Tienarend, Rob Bloomdale, OH	NA48 20141222-4005	OH-WO- 007.000	Mainlines A and B 161.75	The difference is, it cuts 600 acres right down the middle.	Reroute would move impacts to adjacent landowners with same issues.
Meyer, James Richmond Township , OH	DE04 20141222-4003	Offline	Mainlines A and B Offline	This proposed project will also impact my ability to graze and market timber because that is my crop on that woods, resulting in the land being of little or no value to me, to my descendants, and forever on the permanent right-of-way 'cause I cannot grow trees.	Offline
Stuckey, Thomas (Northwest Technical College) Ridgeville Township, Henry County Archbold, OH	C2 20140721-5048	OH-HN- 009.500	Market Segment 8.75	Pipeline will interfere with expansion plans of the college.	Line change to avoid impact to area of interest to college for expansion. Map OH-HN-009.500.
Dennis, John C Franklin Township – Fulton, County, Wauseon, OH	C3 20140724-5020	OH-FU- 034.000 (W. Dennis)	Market Segment 21.0	Run pipeline along property lines.	Reroute would have more Environmental Impact

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Marcinkiewicz, Charles Clinton, MI	AD37 20150102-5234	MI-LE-125.550	Market Segment 55.5	I just bought 20 acres that's in the middle of the pipeline. My concern is that they're coming very close to the Wisner Drain Field, which goes through my property. They'll be crossing it three to four times just in my area alone and no one seems to be interested if there is ever a leak where it might go.	The current route is the shortest route and is placed between houses to reduce impact:
Roberts, Catherine Manchester, MI	C500 20141204-5065	20141204- 5065	Market Segment 58.0	West of the Compression Station is an oil pipeline, and west of that is a gravel pit. The pit owns a good chunk of land and it would be a much better location to run the pipeline. The pipeline would be placed away from our population center, the lake, and a productive farm field, and would be located in a completely uninhabited area.	Offline. Current route allows best access to proposed tie-in to Consumers Freedom station and avoids Pleasant Lake and residential housing.
Timoszyk, Timothy Manchester, MI	CH30 20141222-4024	MI-WA- 023.510	Market Segment 61.5	It just so happens that this pipeline that they're proposing goes right through that swath of trees, so every single stick between when I look outside my living room to M52 will be gone.	Offline. Following METC power line easement. Some trees will remain between Rover and M52.
Kenneth and Mary Beasley Freedom/Bridgewater Twnshp, MI	20150206-0013	MI-WA- 040.500	Market Segment 65.0	We have received the first and latest routes of the proposed Rover pipeline across our property at the above location. We are totally opposed to the pipeline route for the following reasons: New route moves the easement 100 ft of our septic drain field and approximately 250 ft from our home. The route enters a wetland on our property. The easement is all natural habitats for wildlife. Requesting consideration of a route submitted January 26, 2015, along with the Belknaps and McCarthy property owners.	Will reroute on landowners' property to satisfy his requests. Map MI- WA-042 (MP 65).
Belknap, John & Kelly Manchester, MI	C110, C140, C284, C449, C777, C837,	MI-WA- 042.000	Market Segment 64.5	Pipeline crosses diagonally through property. Reroute options.	Will reroute on landowners' property to satisfy his requests.



**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations	Comment ID FERC Comment ID	Tract	Segment / MP	Comment	Resolution
	C1046 20140911-5123, 20140919-5000, 20141016-5001, 20141124-5106, 20141205-5103, 20141215-5051, 20141217-5181				Map MI- WA-042 (MP 65).

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations	Comment ID FERC Comment ID	Tract	Segment / MP	Comment	Resolution
Beasley, Belknap, and McCarthy Families Freedom/Bridgewater Twnshp, MI	20150204-5019	MI-WA-040.500, -040.000, 042.000		We are neighbors and have collectively reviewed the latest iteration of the proposed Rover Pipeline. As it is currently drawn, it will come very close to the Beasley's home, as well as the McCarty's, the Belknap's, and another non-involved home as the pipeline turns north just south of Bemis Rd. Currently the proposed route is threaded very closely between four homes and other related infrastructure. When you look at the map, one wonders why Rover chose to force the path between these four homes, when just to the south and east of these homes is more open land to traverse and still continue the path they desire. Route also comes close to drainfields, clearing of woods, and several wetland areas. Provided a proposed reroute which will require engaging two additional landowners, but will eliminate three.	Will reroute on landowners' property to satisfy his requests. Map MI-WA-042 (MP 65)
Daniel, David A (Littlefield Daniel Trust) Manchester, MI	C354, C830 20141029-5057, 20141215-5006 20150205-5183 20150206-5135 20141229-0082 20150206-5000 20150211-5001 20150212-5054 20150212-5178 20150220-5136 20150306-5038 20150309-5034 20150310-5159 20150317-5047 20150319-5007 20150325-5164	MI-WA-043.000	Market Segment 65.0	Trust has committed large percentage of tract to wildlife habitat improvement and conservation.	Will evaluate reroute if survey permission is granted in area tracts Map – MI-WA-044. Original route was relocated to avoid running within a large county drain. Landowner Trust requested we move from his prime turkey and deer hunting location. We have not surveyed as of 4/9/2015 and we will have to survey the property before we are able to review any alternate route proposals. Market Segment MP 54.6. Map MI-LI-006.520

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
	20150331-5003				
Schaible, Luther Manchester, MI	C132 20140917-5046	MI-WA- 059.000	Market Segment 68.0	Move pipeline 600 feet west to avoid drain tile	Proposing Reroute to follow existing easements, including the Panhandle easement. Maximizes use of adjacent easements. Map – MI-WA-059.
Poley, Irene Chelsea, MI	C1152 20150102-5234 20141218-0022	MI-WA- 066.510	Market Segment 71.0	Our thought would be if you would follow existing gas pipeline this would be a little easier to except then in the middle of the field.	Proposing reroute to follow Panhandle Pipeline easement to reduce impacts to multiple landowners. Map MI-WA-066.510.
Wenk, Paul Chelsea, MI	C880 20150102-5234	MI-WA- 066.510 & MI- WA-070.000	Market Segment 71.0	We already have an existing pipeline crossing this property to which this route does not follow. The existing pipeline and proposed pipeline starts near same location on south property line then separate going north over steep hills ending hundreds of yards west of current pipeline at north line fence.	Route was adjusted during survey to follow existing lines. Map MI-WA-066.510.
Maturo, Pamela Riggs Chelsea, MI	CH10 20141222-4024	MI-WA- 072.000 (Also listed as Dean & Sari Solden)	Market Segment 71.5	In addition, I have trees on that pasture land. The proposed pipeline runs right down the middle of a dozen mature trees that my animals use for shelter during the summer months. If those trees are not there, they're gone, they come in, they run in for shelter, and you may laugh, but you know what that means is me scooping poop for I don't know how many years, so that results in increased labor on my part, and I can guarantee Rover's not going to take that into consideration. [Also noted son's tree house in path of pipeline].	Proposing reroute to parallel Panhandle Easement existing right-of-way, which would take this tract offline. Map MI-WA-072.000.
Hansen, Eric & Mary Dexter, MI	C1084 20141218-4024	Abutter Tract	Market Segment 75.0	Move pipeline east to the Panhandle Eastern Pipeline easement.	Tract is an abutter to current route. Proposed reroute to Panhandle Eastern easement would take this tract further

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
					offline. Evaluating with surveys. Map MI-WA-Hansen Tract.
Roehrig, Karl Dexter, MI	C95, C388, C819, C823 20140908-5188, 20141106-5000, 20141212-5067, 20141212-5058	MI-WA- 111.530	Market Segment 82.0	Pipeline going through middle of 33-acre property.	Reroute not feasible. Best route selected for area. A reroute would result in impacts to areas of higher congestion.
Richard Knopf Pinckney, MI	20141229-0045 20150121-0029 20150226-0052 20150330-5322 20150330-5331	MI-LI-002.000	Market Segment 83.9	Proposes Rover to maintain original route near existing ITC easement and drill contaminated pond.	Rover proposed a reroute in the February submittal to move away from ITC in this area to avoid a contaminated site and the expansion of a public water treatment facility. From discussions with the water treatment facility, an HDD of the facility is not recommended and is against Rover policies regarding construction within or near contaminated soils.
Salowitz, Amy (Village of Pinckney) Pinckney, MI	C277 20141014-5248	MI-LI-006.520 et al.	Market Segment 86.0	Pipeline could prevent expansion of the municipal sewage treatment plant.	Proposing reroute to avoid site of waste water treatment plant in Pinckney, MI Map - LI-006-520.
McCraw, Chris & Michelle Pinckney, MI	C498 20150102-5234	MI-LI-013.510	Market Segment 87.0	A month after we signed the papers and handed over our check, Rover shows up at our house asking for permission to go on our property. Not knowing the severity of the impact it was going to have, we said yes, and it has been a nightmare since. The pipeline is going to destroy that property. They will be cutting down 40%+ of the mature pines, and it is routed on the ridgeline which is the most buildable area. They have told us we can just put our house further back in the corner. Who buys 10 acres to be right next to your neighbor,	Proposed reroute would take this tract offline. Evaluating with surveys. Map MI-LI-013.510.

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
				not to mention the added cost to have everything ran back that much further?	
Golden, Toni & Guy & Mark & Marilyn Pinckney, MI	C107, C108, C127, C129, C197, C200, C314, C315, C318, C330, C345, C356, C362, C380, C419, C435 20140911-5061, 20140911-5073, 20140916-0014, 20140916-0009, 20140926-5204, 20140929-5062, 20141021-5130, 20141021-5141, 20141023-5033, 20141027-5029, 20141027-5154, 20141029-5059, 20141030-5073, 20141104-5170	MI-LI-025.500, 025.510	Market Segment 88.6	Going through 125 acre farm that is zoned for 1-3 acre parcels. Pipeline would ruin any chance of development and would tear up hayfields. Use powerline right-of-way on southern edge of property.	Rerouted to avoid impacts to the 1-3 acre parcels and accommodate landowners. Map MI-LI-025.500-MI-LI-025.510.
Humble, Rodney & Carrie Pinckney, MI	C480 20141201-5051	MI-LI-030.500	Market Segment 90.0	Bought parcel because no pipelines.	Rerouted. This tract is now offline. MI-Map-LI-030.500.

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Munsell, Frank Fowlerville, MI	AD63 20141222-4008	MI-LI-83.560	Market Segment 99.8	We started out, my granddad did in 1905 with 160 acres and now we have over 1,100 acres, same location. We already have a 42-inch Vector natural gas line that crosses our property. We have a 30-inch Enbridge crude oil that crosses our property. The Vector line right now is running 1,200 pounds of pressure designed to go to 2,200 pounds of pressure, which would increase capacity by 125 percent. Now, we're at the point where they want to run the new Rover line on the east portion of our farming operation. I don't want another pipeline. I didn't want the three that we've got there now. I certainly don't want any more.	This landowner is near the Vector interconnect and the reroute for the Vector interconnect is being evaluated with surveys.
Weiner, James T (SE Michigan Land Conservancy) Holly, MI	C289 20141016-5127	MI-OA- 285.000, MI- OA-286.000 (G. Nyland)	Market Segment 138.0 Offline	Pipeline crosses Lost Lake Nature Preserve and should be rerouted.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Stanley, Ted Holly, MI	C1154 C1154	MI-OA-289.000	Market Segment 139.0 Offline	My land rised gradually away from the swamp to a drier area. I am not an engineer, but it seems to me that if the gas pipeline were to be moved north (about 100 yards) you could bury the pipe causing less environmental impact. It would be away from my property enabling me access. At present the survey is a few feet from an access gate. If it were farther back I would be able to use it.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Baecker, Dianna Holly, MI	C190 20140924-5050	Offline	Market Segment 140.0 Offline	Pipeline should not be considered in the neighborhood of Cranberry Drive.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Lusty, James Goodrich, MI	C1145 20141222-4005	MI-GE-073.000	Market Segment 141.9 Offline	If the pipeline is located where it is proposed, the environmental impact on my parcel's portion would be great. This area is currently all wildlife habitat. A reasonable alternative to the proposed pipeline placement would be to follow property owner's property lines. If the proposed pipeline would follow my south property line, the environmental impact would be less because it consists of mostly brush versus mature trees, including deciduous and evergreen.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Warner, Jerry Goodrich, MI	C468 20141202-5010	MI-GE-078.000	Market Segment 142.5 Offline	Not adequate footage to allow for safe routing between our home and our next door neighbor. If the statement was made by your representative that the pipeline would not go down the I69 corridor for safety reasons, what makes it safer to go 100 feet from my home?	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Merkel, Bryan & Tammy Goodrich, MI	C479, C483 20141201-4009, 20141201-5280	MI-GE-082.000	Market Segment 142.6 Offline	Pipeline will go through 3.5 acre parcel. Have plans to build house.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Axt, Jeffrey & Renee Brandon, MI	C41-12 20140818-5097	Offline: MI- OA-130.000, MI-OA- 132.000, MI- OA-134.000	Market Segment 146.0 Offline	The Rover Pipeline should not be located on any portion of the Axt property. Alternate locations exist on nearby open farmland.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Kugler, David Ortonville, MI	C73 20140728-5150	Offline (MI-OA- 151.000-ADJ)	Market Segment 146.0 Offline	Going through south end of property.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Gagnon, Thomas Goodrich, MI	C808 20141210-5033	MI-GE-091.500	Market Segment 149.5 Offline	Reroute to powerline.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Allen, John & MaryAnn (Allen Family Living Trust) Goodrich, MI	C296 20141020-5129	Offline	Market Segment 149.8 Offline	Pipeline should be located through ITC property or Ortonville State Park.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Detheridge, Gary & Aura Hadley, MI	C870 20141215-0045	Offline	Market Segment 149.8-164 Offline	Recommends reroute along powerlines	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
McCreedy, Peter (Lapeer Land Conservancy) Metamora, MI	C230 20141006-5143	MI-LA-030.000	Market Segment 153.0 Offline	This is an 80-acre parcel called the Sutherland Nature Sanctuary.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Townsend, Fred Metamora, MI	C879, FL 71 20150102-5234	MI-LA-030.000	Market Segment 153.8 Offline	In 1998, a 75-acre parcel of land in the S 1/2 of the SE 1/4 of Section 10 in Hadley Township, Lapeer County, was deeded to the Lapeer Land Conservancy by Doris Sutherland of the local Sutherland family to be cared for as a nature sanctuary. The deed specifies that the land "shall always remain in a natural state, no improvements or changes of any kind or nature whatsoever shall occur other than those necessary for ecological preservation of biological diversity." The Sutherland Nature Sanctuary	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
DuRocher, Daniel J & Shirley Metamora, MI	C216, C395, C838, C876 20141002-5001, 20141110-5016, 20141215-5052, 20141216-5037	MI-LA-036.510	Market Segment 154.0 Offline	Pipeline will pass through horse paddock and within 50 feet of pond.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Hays, William Metamora, MI	C133 20140915-5009	MI-LA-038.000 (Offline)	Market Segment 155.0 Offline	Model railroad on 10-acre tract. Avoid.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Pteiness, Kathy Hadley, MI	C256 20141009-0010	Offline	Market Segment 154.5	Route pipeline through Metamora-Hadley State Recreation Area.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).



**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
			Offline		Alternative).
Kaplan, Robert	C447, C494 20141125-5193, 20141203-5003	Offline	Market Segment 154.5 Offline	Reroute to end of Pine Creek Road.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Schlatman, Jeff Metamora, MI	FL64 20150102-5234	MI-LA-060.000	Market Segment 156.8 Offline	And so the pipeline is going to be 136 feet from my house. Basically, it overlaps my septic field.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Robles, Jesus & Sharyn Lapeer, MI	C99, C272, C485 20140910-5012, 20141014-5049, 20141202-5056	MI-LA-073.000 & 074.000	Market Segment 159.0 Offline	Property used for child care for 23 years	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Cole, Kenneth (Masco Corp) Metamora, MI	C344 20141027-0039	MI-LA-081.000	Market Segment 161.1 Offline	Property is 1,020 acres of pristine natural area with lake, horse stables, riding/walking trails, cabins, conference center.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Larcinese, David Attica, MI	C184 20140924-4004	00302502400 (offline)	Market Segment 168.5 Offline	Route pipeline to farmland on west.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Kunz, Gail M Lake Orion, MI	C285, C413 20141016-5004, 20141112-0107	MI-LA-148.000	Market Segment 171.5 Offline	Route pipeline adjacent to existing easements	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Schapman, Rick & Connie (Bruce Township, MI) Romeo, MI	C73 20140903-5033	20140903- 5033	Market Segment 181.0 Offline	They are part of Michigan Agriculture, Schapman Land, LLC. We are writing to implore you to suggest ET Rover not traverse the middle of our family farm and pick an alternate route, if this project is even deemed a necessity.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Ghesquiere, Michael & Lynn Columbus, MI	C497, C621 20141203-5063, 20141205-0025	MI-ST-187.000	Market Segment 196.0 Offline	Go south through open farmland toward an already existing power easement.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). .

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Sexton, Kelly East China, MI	FL14 20141222-4002	20141222- 4002	Market Segment 198.0 Offline	Rover plans to traverse through about one-third of my entire parcel, rendering almost four acres of it useless for development in the future. We have the ITC corridor which is one mile to the north of us and I am asking that you request that Rover talk to those individuals about trying to lay this pipe down the already existing easement.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Distelrath, Donald & Karen Columbus, MI	C40, C794, C1083, C1147 20140818-5050, 20141211-5145, 20141218-5055, 20141218-0021	MI-SC-051.000	Market Segment 200.0 Offline	Establishing wildlife sanctuary on 28 acres	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Gilleran, Mike East China, MI	C312, C458, C789 20141021-5015, 20141128-5001, 20141211-5008	MI-ST-075.570	Market Segment 203.5 Offline	Pipeline will destroy forest on 3-acre property.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Haener, William East China, MI	C770 20141208-5084	MI-ST-106.520	Market Segment 209.3 Offline	Relocate St. Clair crossing to existing easements north or south.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Schweihofer, Jeff (for Elaine) East China, MI	C842 20141215-5096	MI-SC-106.510	Market Segment 209.5 Offline	Relocate St. Clair crossing to existing easements north or south.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Downey, Jr – Bruce Oxford, MI	C21 20140811-5058	Offline	Market Segment Offline	Instead of going through flat farmland they have decided to go through my wetlands and up a steep incline.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Farley, Robert J & Jeanette Almont, MI	C397, C446 20141110-5143, 20141124-5068	Offline	Market Segment Offline	This pipeline will take a new easement of land through an area where a gas pipeline easement already exists. If this line must go through, it should at least go on the existing easement.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Gale, Patricia & Kenneth Goodrich, MI	C1156 20141218-0049	Offline	Market Segment Offline	If this pipeline is so essential, could they not find a less invasive route such as going straight North from Defiance, Ohio along the western edge of Lenawee County, Michigan up to the northern edge of Shiawassee County, then along the extreme northern edge of Genesee, Lapeer and St.Clair Counties, dropping down to the Port Huron terminus in the United States using easements along 1-94 From there to their destination in Sarnia, Ontario, Canada. Or, there are easements along the I-69 corridor that could be used from the western edge of Shiawassee CO to Port Huron to Sarnia, Canada.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Hamilton-Tilly, Sandra Holly, MI	FL31 20141222-4002	20141222- 4002	Market Segment Offline	Move pipeline to existing utility right-of-ways which exist south of Holly and other areas. Should not impact our neighbors to the west of us in Livingston County, Fenton Township or to the East of us, Groveland or Holly, uh Groveland or Atlas Township.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Hartwig, Kenneth & Barbara Hadley, MI	C205, C465, C840 20140929-0020, 20141201-5021, 20141215-5058	Offline	Market Segment Offline	An alternate route from approximately MP108 in Livingston County to MP183 in St. Clair County on Oakland Alternative map dated August 2014 can utilize 85% route along existing powerlines to substantially limit environmental impact and effects to landowners.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations	Comment ID FERC Comment ID	Tract	Segment / MP	Comment	Resolution
Heuvelhorst, Hugh G Fowlerville, MI	C878 20141217-5029	Offline	Market Segment Offline	Pipeline will affect the western 75 to 125 feet of our property. Rover will remove trees from that portion of our property. That portion of the property is the highest in elevation and has the best stand of maple trees for sap collection. The remainder of the wooded area is dominated by a forested wetland, and use is limited. I stand to lose approximately 0.85 acre of my 5 wooded acres.	Offline
Holly Township Holly, MI	C1168 20141218-5337	Offline	Market Segment Offline	All NOCFA ambulances and all Village of Holly emergency services ambulances must travel on North Holly Road or be subjected to a 13 miles detour to the west or a 9 mile detour to the east. Lives can be lost during that delay. Because of our bedroom community status most of our residents commute to work and most go north to our closest major city, Flint. Having only one paved north/south road requires that it have extraordinary accessibility, a circumstance noted by the Michigan State Police, NOCFA and the Road Commission for Oakland County. North Holly Road cannot be restricted or closed at any time and the pipeline must be done completely underground within this important roadway. Holly Township believes we should be provided with an Emergency Management Plan that will cover every contingency that we have to respond to both during the construction and when the new pipeline is in service.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Izzo, Carolyn Holly, MI	C1 20140721-0009	Offline	Market Segment Offline	Already has a pipeline in the middle of her property and along the north to side sides. Does not want another one going through her property east to west. Has flora and fauna on property.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Miotke, Dave East China, MI	RI30 20141222-4060	Offline	Market Segment Offline	And there are existing energy corridors approximately one mile north and one mile south of our location. The location to the north, adjacent to Puttygut Road, currently houses some high voltage transmission lines and numerous pipelines that cross the river from Michigan to Canada with approximately 300 feet of riverfront way. The location to the south of us is DTE, and so that would also be a possibility that they could do that. So we would certainly like them to look at alternative crossings and not go through a residential area.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Mogan, John F East China, MI	C482 20141202-5001	Offline	Market Segment Offline	An attempt by Rover personnel to secure a new, less expensive river crossing site on private property, under the guise of "eminent domain". This tactic would alleviate Rover having to negotiate with other pipeline competitors and public utilities who own available right of ways and easements within a half a mile (north and south) of the presently proposed private property. There ARE other available river crossing routes not associated with private property.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Ray, Nancy Hadley, MI	C173 20140923-5037	Offline	Market Segment Offline	Board horses on farm. Clients leaving. Will not be able to make a living.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Schmid, Joan Washington, MI	C374 20141103-0028	20141103-0028	Market Segment Offline	If mandated they should parallel the easement established for the current pipeline and not cross diagonally over the largest parcel 44-011-029-023-00. (70 acres)	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
VanDaele, John & Marianna Ortonville, MI	C38, C89 20140818-0021	20140818-0021	Market Segment Offline	Use an alternate route north of Ortonville.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
Norwood, Timothy Chelsea, MI	C215 20141001-5244	Offline	Market Segment Offline	I have tried numerous times to request information regarding the placement of the ET Rover Pipeline in relationship to my property by both emailing and calling the listed contacts listed on ET Rover website	Offline
Stevens, Linda Metamora, MI	C622 20141222-4005	Offline	Market Segment Offline	My farm is oriented south to north; the proposed pipeline route runs west to east. In looking at Exhibit B, I have outlined my property in yellow. The private road is marked in red. This new route will bisect the farm. The pipeline has been moved closer to my home and is now encroaching on the private road. It will pass through the wetlands near the private road, as well as the wetlands on the east side of the property. If this route is approved, roughly 15+ acres of my land will be inaccessible. I have no alternate access to the back two parcels without crossing the pipeline. My berry business will become defunct and half of the fenced horse pasture will become unusable. This projected route will cause irreparable harm, loss of use of my land, business interruption and denial of pleasure and enjoyment of same.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**TABLE 10.6-3  
Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations**

<b>Responses to Landowner Requests for Route Variations</b>	<b>Comment ID FERC Comment ID</b>	<b>Tract</b>	<b>Segment / MP</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Resolution</b>
Bunch, Chris Lost Lakes Nature Preserve, Border of Oakland & Genesee Counties	C365, FL26 20141031-5050	Offline	Market Segment Offline	Our board of directors passed a resolution urging that all new utility pipelines and transmission lines be sited within existing rights-of-way and avoid additional environmental impacts and habitat fragmentation. The new water supply line from Lake Huron to the city of Flint is being constructed within the I-69 right-of-way. In addition to these options, there are numerous other utility corridors in existence that would meet the needs of this project.	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).