



# ***ATTACHMENT 1C***

## ***Summaries of Comments***

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
<b>Clarington Lateral</b>								
12/18/2014	Philip A. Nichol	Saint Clairsville, OH	20141218-5236	Have property directly to the east and within a quarter of mile of the proposed Rover Pipeline Project. It intersects a recently coal strip-mined area just to the west of my property. In this path are several OEPA (Ohio Environmental Protection Agency), ODNR (Ohio Department Of Natural Resources, and ACOE (Army Corps of Engineers) stream areas and pond mitigation areas. These streams and pond are coming from a designated water mitigation area and feeding into the Crabapple Creek Watershed. The pond area is in the form of a water retention site as designated by the mining company, Cravat Coal Company. The northern most area stream is an underground aquifer and has very good water quality. This information is shown upon the ODNR mining final permit map D-2087. Expects ETC to also leave this area in good condition.	PF14-14-000	Abutter	--	Offline. Rover will implement the Rover Plan and Procedures and will adhere to all permits and conditions for the Project.
12/18/2014	Thomas Shaw	Belmont, OH	20141218-4029	Worried about loss of property value, lost value from mature timber and coal. No money to landowners after one-time payment. Additional concerns include liability insurance, impacts to utility lines, loss of privacy, and no benefit to property owner.	PF14-14-000	Abutter	--	Property values are addressed in RR5. Compensation and water utilities are addressed in RR8. Benefits are addressed in RR1.
1/6/2015	Elaine Goetz Sustainability Specialist	Athens, OH	20150106-5045	The current location of the proposed ET/Rover gas transmission line on the Ohio University Eastern campus will affect an amphitheater proposed for that area of campus. In addition, that location may limit the potential for expansion of secondary academic and recreational programs. While the master plan shows academic expansion east of this line area, we are uncertain at this time the potential impact this will have on our future plans.	PF14-14-000	Landowner/Other	OH-BE-CC-103.000 OH-BE-CC-103.300 OH-BE-CC-106.000 OH-BE-CC-107.000	There are three existing pipelines in the area they are planning for future development. The proposed Rover Pipeline is co-located with these pipelines and are also in between these easements to minimize additional impact.
<b>Majorsville Lateral</b>								
12/18/2014	Phil Knierim	Wheeling, WV	20141218-5007	Has complaint regarding Rover's methods of communication via letters, threats of eminent domain, and no personal contact.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	WV-MA-ML-14.000	Corrected WV-MA-ML-014.000 (CL) with TAR. Informative mailings and survey request were sent to landowner. Rover has been in communication with landowner and survey permission was granted with conditions of a 24-hour notice prior to entering property. Eminent domain is addressed in RR8.
12/18/2014	Fred Brautigan	McMelhen, WV	20141218-4005	On pg. 2 of the document I received there is a reference to eminent domain. I believe this to be a threat to all landowners as indicating the rights of ownership can be and will be disregarded.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	WV-MA-ML-065.000	Eminent domain is addressed in RR8.
2/9/2015	Henry Roth	Wheeling, WV	20150209-0079	Enclosed are recent articles showing the true effects of pipeline activity in the northern WV counties. Normal procedures for pipeline construction in the "hilly" land, see enclosure (1), do not work in this area. When timber is removed from the steep slopes and pipeline constructed the vertical load of the above pipe creates excessive force on the bottom sections of pipe. With the vegetation removed the ground is unstable and more susceptible to slips and movement as noted in the Dec. 18 article.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	WV-MA-ML-044.000 WV-MA-ML-044.046	Construction methods are addressed in RR1. Steep slopes and soils are addressed in RR7.

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
3/12/2015	Henry Roth	Wheeling, WV	20150312-0008	Concerns about eminent domain and illegal bullying tactics being used to obtain a pipeline to Canada. Attached previous correspondence from Rover's legal counsel and response.	PF14-14-000 CP15-93-000	Landowner	WV-MA-ML-044.000 WV-MA-ML-044.046	During initial routing, many adjustments were made to accommodate this landowner, and stay off of Mr. Roth's property as much as possible. Proposed route is currently on the southernmost edge of the property with 152' of centerline impact. A reroute farther south is not possible due to a large ravine and consequent constructability issues. Near Majorsville Lateral MP 8.8.
3/30/2015	Marshall Land LLC (McElwee, Douglas C.)	Julian, WV	20150330-5199	Concerns about impacts to property value and plans for future development, and impacts to future mining rights on the "River Tract."	CP15-93-000	Landowner	WV-MA-ML-070.000	Majorsville Lateral MP 12.0 (Ohio River - east bank of HDD). This tract is required for crossing of the Ohio River via HDD, major realignment if do not cross at this location. An appraiser assessed impact of lost mining rights. It was concluded that the small size of the parcel and the shallow nature of the coal is so problematic, that cannot envision commercial mining of this coal in the foreseeable future and the value of the coal is de minimis.
<b>Sherwood Lateral</b>								
12/18/2014	Michail and Michele Dolgovskij	Glen Dale, WV	20141218-5198	Our property consists of 59 acres which we do not own the mineral rights. Route traverses hill behind their house that has experienced erosion and drainage issues & feels that pipeline clearing will further degrade this hill at faster rate. Also concerns with private country living being affected, resale value, farming potential may be lost, and personal enjoyment of land lost. Stock fence would be disrupted (livestock) as well as tearing down of hand-built stone wall. With regards to experience with ET Rover Pipeline, LLC, we do not believe this company and its agents operate in good faith with landowners. Upset over interactions with survey crews.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	WV-MA-ML-049.000	Rover is not pursuing mineral rights in negotiations. Fencing will be replaced by gate where and if the route cuts through the fencing. Pipe will be buried below the plow depth so stakeholder can utilize field in farming. During construction, proper erosion control devices will be implemented to ensure soil stability, see RR1 and Rover Plan and Procedures. Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan addresses crossing of agricultural lands. Property values are addressed in RR5. Numerous pipeline companies operating in this area, so may not have been a Rover survey crew that stakeholder refers to. Study corridor and environmental impacts are explained to stakeholder as they relate to pipeline routing.
12/19/2014	Kenneth A. Knight	Edgewater, NJ (West Union, WV)	20141219-5004; 20141219-5051	Property owner in Doddridge Co., WV. There are plans to use the property for purposes other than how is has been used to date. The proposed pipeline may affect those plans. Examples would be commercial bee keeping and honey production, wild berry commercial production, and hydroponic vegetable farming. The pipeline, its construction, gas compression and transfer may affect the quality of the land planned for agricultural use.	PF14-14-000	Offline	WV-DO_SHC-030.972-TAR-9	Temporary access road WV-DO-SHC-030.970-TAR-9 is adjacent to this tract.
12/19/2014	Greg Hohman	New Martinsville, WV	20141219-5011	Just built a house and find out that pipeline will be within 100 feet of it. With your approval, ET Rover will take away all rights of my land away from me and not for the greater good, but for big business to line their pockets. Opposed to pipeline on property.	PF14-14-000	Abutter	WV-WE-SHC-008.000, WV-WE-SHC-008.300	Between December 2014 and January 2015 Rover re-routed the line approximately 400' northeast of his 2 parcels taking this landowner from centerline to abutter.

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/22/2014	David & Elizabeth Beveridge	Alma, WV	20141222-0103	Current proposed route endangers the portion of a high meadow nearest to our house to future deep well (Marcellus Shale) drilling site. This high meadow was targeted by a drilling company for investigation, but pipeline route would encumber the portion of the meadow furthest from our home, thus leaving portion of meadow nearest to house for future drilling site, which would make home uninhabitable and destroy value of home. Modify route to move pipeline to portion nearest house to allow for drilling on area furthest from house.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	WV-DO-SHC-047.000 & 048.000	Rerouted. Map WV-DO-SHC-048, submitted February 2015.
1/4/2015	Gregg Smith (Maplewood Farm)	Smithburg, WV	20150120-0104	My home is the family farm on Elija G Smith on Smith Creek in Doddridge County. My ancestor Asa Bee was one of the first settlers here with his family back in the 1700's. Before that my ancestors lived here long before the Vikings. Since wildcat drillers have come to area, crimes of all kinds have increased. Will impede future and present farm operations. Will cause damaging & dangerous erosion, will bring more trespassers to property that will harm property and family. Every pipeline have had on property has leaked which harmed property, will cut farm in half, no offer been made that comes close to the present and future land use loss.	PF14-14-000, CP15-7-000	Offline	--	Rover is unable to identify this tract as crossed by proposed Rover Pipeline. No contact information was provided to follow-up with commenter for clarification. All properties under the ownership of a landowner with the last name of Smith in Doddridge County have provided consent to survey.
1/9/2015	Edward B. Howell	Sisterville, WV	20150109-5035	This line destroys our land which we bought for timber production and maple syrup production. There is no replacing what is lost.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	WV-TY-SCH-074.000	Landowner sold easement to Kinder Morgan who also proposes to cross this tract with a pipeline.
2/19/2015	Teresea Hamilton (Tyler County Commission)	Middlebourne, WV	20150219-0077	February 10, 2015 Commission Meeting, the Tyler County Commission signed a resolution concerning the ET Rover Pipeline, LLC. The Tyler County Commission's resolution concerns are for the Federal Energy Regulation Commission (FERC) to enforce reroute segments to avoid residences within 500 feet of proposed gas line, our state and federal legislators to support us in an effort to have a minimum safe distance for the residence of Tyler County and the State of West Virginia.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.
3/20/2015	Nancy Andes	Sacramento, CA	20150323-5004	Concerns about impacts of future plans to build a museum on property in Belmont County. Pipeline will need to be rerouted elsewhere.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	OH-BE-ML-001.556	Parcel will be affected by temporary workspace only. Pipeline centerline will not be on this tract.
2/11/2015	Arlen G Wells, JR	Sisterville, WV	20150211-5000	My concern is that when Rover Pipeline was drilling on my land taking core samples, they dumped their used antifreeze on the ground. This could affect my groundwater or could sicken my animals.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	WV-TY-SHC-069.500, WV-TY-SHC-070.300, WV-TY-SHC-070.310	Rover representatives were onsite during collection of core samples and did not witness any improper disposal of antifreeze. Mr. Arlen was also present throughout sampling and never mentioned seeing improper antifreeze disposal to Rover representatives.
2/24/2015	Larry H. Helmick	Sistersville, WV	20150224-5122 20150224-5124	Concerns about proximity of residences to pipeline blast area.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	WV-TY-SCH-080.340 WV-TY-SCH-080.350 WV-TY-SCH-081.000	Sherwood Lateral, MP 27.0. Pipeline 127 feet west of residence. RR10 - The current alignment was selected for being an equal distance from all residences in this area. Landowner has existing pipelines through the middle of the property, and the proposed route is adjacent through his property. Any reroute will impact

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
3/30/2015	Larry H. Helmick	Sistersville, WV	20150330-5493	I have documentation to prove that I was specifically told that a route change was being looked at on my property which was false. There were never any plans to re-route around my property; I was just told that to ease the tension off of Rover. I am sure that I am not the only land owner that this has happened to.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	WV-TY-SCH-080.340 WV-TY-SCH-080.350 WV-TY-SCH-081.000	multiple additional landowners. The workspace has been reduced another 25 ft. on the side closest to his house. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11. Residential septic systems are addressed in RR8. Fish and wildlife are addressed in RR2.
3/30/2015	Larry H. Helmick	Sistersville, WV	20150330-5344	Motion to intervene. Passing within 100 feet of a residence, consuming a future building lot, interfering with a residential septic system and disturbing natural habitats for fish and wildlife. The amount of evidence that supports the fact that the line should be slightly altered for the benefit of both parties is easily identifiable.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	WV-TY-SCH-080.340 WV-TY-SCH-080.350 WV-TY-SCH-081.000	
<b>Burgettstown</b>								
12/18/2014	Jefferson County Port Authority	Wintersville, OH	20141218-4009	I am writing to issue my strong support for the proposed Rover pipeline project. From an economic development standpoint, the potential of the Rover pipeline to serve as a catalyst for much needed manufacturing growth is very exciting.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Lawrence Binkoski	New Cumberland, WV	20141218-5308	This address is near the point where the Rover Pipeline will go under Route 2 and head for the Ohio River. Route 2 is the main road through New Cumberland. A concern is the outside lane of Route 2 has been gradually sinking and giving way. Additionally, as soon as the pipeline clears Route 2 it is no longer underground. There is a steep hillside there with no room even for a guardrail. It would seem this is a poor location for a 36 inch pipeline. The configuration of Route 2 through New Cumberland has always been a problem and with the increased truck traffic the redirection of Route 2 is being seriously discussed. The proposed path of the pipeline will most likely intersect the new road construction area. Concerns regarding close proximity to pipeline, devaluation of property, use of eminent domain, and quality of life. Pipeline would be better placed quarter mile south near entrance to New Cumberland where all issues could be avoided.	PF14-14-000	Abutter	--	The proposed pipeline route will be 1,564.89 feet from the stakeholder. Property values and use of eminent domain are addressed in RR5. The HDD will be far below the stakeholder's concern for the road. Residential land use is addressed in RR8.
12/22/2014	Leslie Krenn	Burgettstown, PA	20141222-0009	Tax Parcel ID: 340-018-0000-0001-003. Located on Aunt Clara Rd, Washington County, PA. This parcel is located in a 100 year flood zone. Land also has a natural state stocked trout stream running through it & concerned about impacts to trout stream. The residence is downstream of this location as is their water supply. Dept. of Environmental Protection intervened with attempt to subdivide father's estate which these parcels are a part of due to endangered species of plants in these virgin woods. Concerned about pollution to these natural resources.	PF14-14-000	Offline	Tax Parcel ID: 340-018-0000-001-03	Will need to cross this stream regardless of location. For crossing of sensitive environmental resources, construction mitigation measures can be utilized (bore, HDD, flume, time of year, installation window). RR2 addresses water use and quality. RR3 addresses fisheries of special concern in Pennsylvania, fishery effects and mitigation, and threatened, endangered, and candidate species. Rover is in consultation with state and federal agencies regarding threatened and endangered species and their habitats. Rover Plan and Procedures and compliance with all permits and conditions will be adhered to during construction.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/19/2014	Lawrence E. Bolind, Jr., Trustee	Imperial, PA	20141219-5007	The Farm consists of 110 acres of Clean and Green designated land that contains approximately 1,900 feet of Aunt Clara Creek. EIS should address the adverse effects to the local watershed immediate to Aunt Clara Creek. Farm makes available to all trout fishermen. Stream is one of area's few freshwater streams stocked with fish by the Pennsylvania Game Commission that is open to local fishermen. It is the Trust's position that relocation from the Trust property of the pipeline can be accomplished with minimal financial impact to the Project and insure that Aunt Clara Creek remains an untouched natural habitat for fish, wildlife and sportsmen. Also concerned that the pipeline ROW will open area to unauthorized ATV use.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	PA-WA-HL_020.000	Clean and Green is the allotment program in Pennsylvania to remove land from agricultural use. All DEP regulations will be followed by Rover Pipeline, LLC. Fencing on the boundaries of the property is an option where the easement crosses.
3/31/2015	Lawrence E. Bolind - Trustee Wilson Farm and Aunt Clara Creek Land Trusts	Imperial, PA	20150331-5069	Motion to intervene. Concerns about impacts to property.	CP15-93-000 PF14-14-000	Landowner	PA-WA-HL_020.000	No site specific comments; No response required.
<b>Supply Connector</b>								
3/30/2015	Barbara Dewey and JD & Ann Stillwater	Bowerston, OH	20150330-5209	Motion to intervene. Concerns about impacts to water sources on property. Request for alternate route. There is a viable route option on the property immediately north of the Stillwater property.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-HR-510.310	Vicinity of Supply Connector A and B, MP 15 RR10 - Reroute identified Map OH-HR-042.510.
<b>Mainlines</b>								
12/18/2014	Roger J. Maurer	Wooster, Ohio	20141218-0057	We have several concerns about the proposed Rover Pipeline. The proposed pipeline is going to be within 150 ft. of an oilfield waste injection well which is not shown on Rover maps. One of these wells has been determined to be the cause of several small earthquakes in the past several years. An earthquake could compromise the integrity of the welds connecting these two pipes. There is a housing development within 300 ft. of the proposed pipeline. Neighbor has land 900 ft. from pipeline that has enrolled in the Federal Wetland Reserves Program. There was a pair of nesting sand hill cranes on this wetland this past summer, endangered species in Ohio. Working on property for 30 years and installed tile drainage, sod waterways, and have no-tilled the land since purchasing the property. This ground will never be as productive after Rover destroys it. Provided a proposed reroute on land owned by state of Ohio.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	OH-WA-052.536	Proposed reroute not feasible. The area is very congested. There is a subdivision to the north and Killbuck Marsh Wildlife Area to the South. Well 135" from workspace. Geologic hazards are addressed in RR6. Water use and quality are addressed in RR2. WRP lands are addressed in RR8. Threatened and endangered species are addressed in RR3. Agricultural land crossings are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Mainlines A and B 69.5.
12/18/2014	Yancy Shaw	Westerville, OH	20141218-4008	I am a member of the Liuna Laborers International Union of North America. This pipeline will create many good paying jobs to be completed and also create revenue for all local towns, schools, etc.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Tom Underwood	Newton Falls, OH	20141218-4007	I am a 35 yr. Liuna laborer. In our area, they are trained and skilled above any other non-union contractors anywhere in the U.S.A. It will be an honor to have our members perform, not only the pipeline but also all pump stations from start to finish.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Kevin Lewis	McDermott, OH	20141218-4006	I look forward to the jobs this this pipeline will bring. I am a member of the Laborers International Union of North America. Have worked on pipelines in the past and look forward to this one.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No response required. Mainline.
12/18/2014	Mr. Ronald Moore	New Philadelphia, OH	20141218-0070	I am writing to register my support for Energy Transfer Partner's ET Rover Pipeline. The pipeline will create jobs, supply Americans with domestically produced energy, and be built to best-in-class standards that protect the environment and local communities.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No response required. Mainline.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/18/2014	Leatra Harper	Grand Rapids, OH	20141218-4031	Opposes fracking, damage to environment and climate change.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No site specific comment; No response required.
12/18/2014	Carolyn Harding	Bexley, OH	20141218-4030	I am opposed to the Rover Pipeline. It will negatively impact our #1 industry = farms. If there is a spill, it will pollute water, air, and soil. The insurance companies will not insure land that is fracked, will they insure a pipeline? Farmers are dependent on insurance, and will the value of our property fall? I think 3-4 feet below surface is not safe and I'm afraid.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Crossing of agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. RR5 addresses insurance and property values. Pipeline safety is addressed in RR11.
12/18/2014	James Kerr	Cadiz, OH	20141218-4027	I am a certified professional soil scientist, what are requirements for pipeline construction and protection of soil resources including topsoil segregation, treatment of prime agriculture and wetlands? Extra conservation measures on moderate, steep, and very steep slopes?	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8 address crossing of agricultural land, treatment of soil, and steep slopes during construction and restoration. Wetlands are addressed in RR2 and Rover Procedures.
12/22/2014	John and Paulette Bresler	Bloomdale, OH	20141222-4017	Oppose due to environmental concerns from crossing farmland, loss of value, and the product will not benefit the community. Property is part of the Great Black Swamp, so drainage has always been necessary since the area was settled. A new systematic tile project was performed in the 1980's. A surface drain was also installed on the north side of the property. A portion of property placed in the NRCS Conservation Reserve Program of the USDA and continues in the program. Route cuts diagonally across property and cuts every tile in its path. Don't want pipeline but if it must be installed, want it parallel to the tile system, rather than diagonal.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	Wood County Tracts 16 - 21 -22 are under the name Bresler. (OH-WO-016.000, OH-WO-021.000, OH-WO-022.000	Agricultural crossings and drainage tiles are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7 and RR8. CRP lands and other conservation easements are addressed in RR8. RR 5 addresses property values. Purpose and need is documented in RR 1.
12/22/2014	Vince Goff	Navarre, OH	20141222-4011	Concern regarding damage and alteration to spring source that feed home pond and barn. Requests personal follow-up and actions that would protect the source of the spring.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	OH-ST-021.000	The spring issue was discussed with landowner. Rover is working on a mitigation plan to address his concerns.
12/22/2014	Ben Polasek	Defiance, OH	20141222-4021	Continuation of scoping meeting comments (December 2, 2014 - Defiance, OH), submitted maps.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	OH-DE-010.000, OH-DE-016.000, OH-DE-018.000	12/2/2014 scoping meeting comments were addressed in RR 1, ATT1C.
12/22/2014	Mary Kingwood	Defiance, OH	20141222-4020	I want to support the proposed pipeline, the benefit to Ohio families with increased construction jobs, lower energy prices, and energy independence. I have experience with a similar pipeline running through my parent's property. Needless to say, we were concerned about the project. We were nervous about the excavators digging up my yard, and the general mess of the project. I was surprised at how well the company replaced the dirt and repaired the property. I was also pleased with the benefits to the town from the eating at our restaurants, getting gas at our gas station and using our local contractors and union labor subs.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/22/2014	Andrew Long	Defiance, OH	20141222-4019	My family and I live along the corridor, and we fully support the project. Temporary damage will be fixed, and it will make way for permanent economic gain. After all, these farms represent economic development of Ohio's land and Ohio's natural resources. This is the next step in developing our abundant resources, and it will be a boom to our state and local economies.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/22/2014	Ross Miller	Defiance, OH	20141222-4018	I came to the meeting in response to my father's interest who employs and works for many general contractors. I wanted to just repeat some of the comments about the positive economic aspects of the pipeline including the increase in jobs, temporary and otherwise.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/22/2014	Patsy Schmuki	Navarre, OH	20141222-4012	They are in favor of profit sharing vs. per acre payment. This doesn't benefit anyone in the pipeline or drilling area, so why eminent domain? Also concerns about fixing tile.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	OH-ST-044.214	RR5 addresses compensation. RR1 addresses benefits. Eminent domain is addressed in RR8. Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8 addresses crossing of agricultural land and drain tiles.
12/22/2014	Patrick L. Bucher	Sugar Creek Twp., Navarre, OH	20141222-4010	I am in favor of profit sharing for landowners that pipeline goes through their property.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	OH-ST-044.224	RR 5 addresses compensation.
1/16/2015	Lisa Kavanaugh	Oberlin, OH	20150116-5003	As someone with a mitochondrial disorder (www.MitoAction.org), a condition that is degenerative, progressive and without a cure, I can tell you first hand GMOs and Glyphosate cause my disease to flare up dramatically. You are knowingly killing people and I will testify to this. I am one of the many "canary's in the cave" of Monsanto's deadly chemicals. They are NOT safe and you have proof.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.
2/13/2015	Sherry Miller	Sherrodsville, Ohio	20150213-5166	Our home is located on just 6 ½ acres in Orange Township, Carroll County. Rover wants to put their two 42" pipelines across the corner of our property, straight through the middle of our neighbor's property and up the middle of the 5 acres of land beside our property. We have a small farm and the destruction from these pipelines going in will ruin it all. The lines will be within feet of our home, our garage, our barn, and our yard where our kids play. Rover wants to move our animals, dig our dead pets up, and plow over 20 of our Dawn Redwood trees. We get a different answer to our questions every time we ask. I think the safest way to transport all this natural gas is by trucks, pipelines of these size just will not work anywhere in our area; there are too many people's homes in their path.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	OH-CA-016.000	Construction group approved to air bridge over a dog cemetery and reduce temporary workspace, described in plat issued to landowner. Current routing is 30 ft. from barn and 100 ft. from house with temporary work space and 200 feet within permanent easement. Crossing of agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.
2/17/2015	Henry Township Trustees (John D. Stewart, etc.)	Henry Township, North Baltimore, OH.	20150217-5083	Henry Township is a primarily agricultural community with productive farmland. The proposed pipeline would be devastating to the underground tile and the fertile topsoil that has taken many years and millions of dollars to create. The township roads in Henry Township were not built for the construction traffic and road boring that would take place. The heavy equipment traffic would be disastrous to our roads. Henry Township Board of Trustees oppose routing the propose ET Rover Pipeline through Henry Township due to lack of necessity and lack of serving the common good of Ohio residents.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Agricultural crossings are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Soil management is also addressed in Rover Plan. RR 1, APP1BL- Residential Access & Traffic Mgmt. Plan addresses construction traffic and repair of road damages. Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.
2/19/2015	Jennifer Smither	Columbus, OH	20150219-5083	Here in Ohio, we're working very hard to encourage energy-efficient, sustainable farming. This provides local, healthy foods to citizens of all socio-economic classes. Please do not destroy our farmland (or potential farmland) to build a dangerous pipeline that does not benefit our citizens nearly as much as access to healthy food, groundwater, and land.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Agricultural crossings are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11. Benefits are documented in RR1.
2/19/2015	Jess Holler	New Albany, OH	20150219-5054	I am deeply concerned about the proposed ET Rover and Nexus pipelines' impact on Ohio sustainable farming and farm infrastructure. Natural gas pipelines are notorious for leakages and wrecking ecological damages and organic, sustainable and transitioning farms -- which maintain strict requirements about preventing chemical inputs into our foods -- would be particularly susceptible to these issues. As a citizen and environmentalist, I do not want such a pipeline project coming into my state!	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Crossing of agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.



**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
3/26/2015	Stearns & Hammer, Wood/Hancock County Landowners Against Rover	Bowling Green, OH	20150326-5057	Motion to intervene. Exhibit A includes list of 27 landowners in Wood and Hancock Counties that are represented by Stearns & Hammer.	CP15-93-000	Multiple Landowners	Multiple Tracts	Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan has been provided to all landowners.
3/26/2015	Holzworth	Mansfield, OH	20150326-5085	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-024.000 OH-RI-024.300	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/26/2015	Wright & Talisman, PC	Canton, OH	20150326-5090	Motion to intervene on behalf of Catherine Jarrell and Denise Bing.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-HR-034.000	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/26/2015	Judy A. Kaylor	Tiro, OH	20150326-5118	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-CR-013.000 OH-CR-013.200	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/26/2015	Gary A. Lehman	Shelby, OH	20150326-5131	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-014.000 OH-RI-018.000	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/27/2015	Gary A. Hummel	Shelby, OH	20150327-5163	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-043.000 OH-RI-043.300 OH-RI-043.310	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/27/2015	STC Holdings, LLC, Stark Truss Company, Inc., Yoder Florida, LLC, V K S Farms, L.L.C	Bolivar, OH Canton, OH	20150327-5354	Motion to intervene. Landowners respectfully request the proposed route in Bethlehem Township, Stark County, Ohio be rejected. Concerns include proximity to Bolivar Dam and Countywide Landfill, and physical damage (flooding) if gas incident.	CP15-93-000	Landowner(s)	OH-ST-011.000 OH-ST-012.000 OH-ST-013.000 OH-ST-015.000	Route is following the southerly property line of the county landfill and on the northerly side of Gracemont Street and will have no impact to dam. Mainlines A and B, MPs 40 thru 42. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.
3/31/2015	Daniel Hershberger	Navarre, OH	20150331-0006	I just can't see how you can legally put pipes in so close to my house. Seems to me 45'-50' is awfully close. It's not that you don't have a big open field that you could move back quite a bit. Please consider looking into this.	CP15-93-000	Abutter	OH-ST-044.200	Mainlines A and B, MP 48.5. Workspace was reduced to be approximately 30 ft. from house.
3/2/2015	Robert Kein	Fredericksburg, OH	20150302-0090	112-acre farm on Hogback Road - raise corn, hay, oats, pasture, and custom feed organic dairy heifers. Disruption of soil would affect number of heifers that can be fed.	PF15-10-000 PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Not affected by Rover Mainlines A and B. Hogback Road ends about 1.6 miles south of approx. Mainline MP 60.3.
3/25/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm Co., LPA	Columbus, OH	20150325-5171 20150330-5308	Motion to intervene (Privileged) and exhibits.	CP15-93-000	--	--	No response required.
3/25/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm Co., LPA	Columbus, OH	20150325-5170	Motion to intervene on behalf of multiple landowners, including request for 11 with site-specific route adjustments (maps attached but submitted as privileged under 20150325-5171).	CP15-93-000	--	--	See below
3/25/2015 3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Franklin Township, Ohio	20150330-5133 20150330-5282	Sautter, Gregory & Dianne - Relocate pipeline closer to northern boundary property line to avoid impact to existing geo-thermal septic system.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-WA-052.510	Mainlines A and B, MP 66.5. RR10 - Reroute identified Map OH-WA-052.510, filed February 2015, line was shifted per drawing.
3/25/2015 3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Vermillion Township, Ohio	20150330-5133 20150330-5282	Draper, Edward & Deanna - Relocate pipeline so that the pipeline parallels existing power lines. Current route runs through middle of pasture and within a few hundred feet of homes, affecting drainage tile and farming operations.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-AS-026.000	Power line is running in different direction to Rover alignment. Crossing of agricultural land and drain tiles are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Mainlines A and B, MP 85
3/25/2015 3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Flatrock Township, Ohio	201503030-5133 20150330-5282	Gerald & Vickie Young Trust - Relocate pipeline so that the pipeline will be further north through (or close) to woodlands to avoid impact on agricultural farm ground, drainage tile, and farming operations.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-AS-026.549	Suggested Route would have more environmental impact. Crossing of agricultural land and drain tiles are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Mainlines A and B, MP 89.7
3/24/2015	Travis Bigler	Canton, OH	20150324-5156	Opposes routing of proposed NEXUS pipeline and Rover pipelines in Stark County, Ohio. Concerns about cumulative impacts associated with the proximity of Rover and Nexus pipelines.	PF15-00-000 PF14-00-000	Offline	--	No site specific comments; No response required.

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
3/24/2015	John J. McCarron - Trustee McCarron Property Management Trust	Youngstown, OH	20150324-5144	Opposes construction of the pipeline through property. Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-027.210	Crossing of agricultural land and drain tiles are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Compensation is addressed in RR5. Mainlines A and B, MP 104.0
3/26/2015	Scott Harvey	Shelby, OH	20150326-5051	Why is the temporary easement placement 34' away from my property line? This creates wasted land and timber in the future that will not be able to be properly utilized again for future development. Why is the largest (width) portion of the temporary easement located on the opposite side of my property line especially were it runs through my woods as this eliminates more trees than needed and ruins ecosystems for no reason when it could be easily switched to the property line side. This wastes more of my property for future generations use and enjoyment.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-035.000	Agricultural fields are on both sides of this upland tract and the separation allows for drain tile repairs to the agricultural tracts on both sides. Mainlines A and B, MP 104.2
3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Monroe Township, Ohio	201503030-5133 20150330-5282	St. John's United Church of Christ - Relocate pipeline so that the pipeline runs straight east to west and parallel to northern boundary line. Current route is at an angle and will impact existing drainage tiles and farming operations.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-HE-042.000	Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan will address route crossing at an angle and paralleling existing pipeline. RR7 and RR8 also address crossing of agricultural land. Mainlines A and B, MP 104.4
3/26/2015	Douglas Utz	New Washington, OH	20150326-5006	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-SE-003.000	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/28/2015	Michael J. Boyce	--	20150330-5202	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Unknown	--	No specific comments; No response required.
3/28/2015	Esther M. Boyce	Mansfield, OH	20150330-5203	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-003.000	No specific comments; No response required.
3/28/2015	Rebecca Ryland	Clancy, MT	20150330-5006	Co-owner of RKRL Farm, LLC (Richland County, OH). Concerns about loss of valuable natural resources, and short/long term impacts on land and resource management. Concerns about fair compensation.	PF14-14-000 CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-028.000	Appraisal offers based off of market studies done by certified appraisers. Compensation is addressed in RR5. Mainlines A and B, MP 104.8
3/27/2015	Tom L. Clabaugh	Shelby, OH	20150327-5138	Concerns about impacts to drainage tiles, soil, agriculture, stream quality, and property values.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-047.000 OH-RI-047.224	Crossing of agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Water use and quality (streams) are addressed in RR2. Property values are addressed in RR5. Mainlines A and B, MP 109.8
3/13/2015	Joshua Findley	Fostoria, OH	20150313-5094	Left several messages on Rover helpline and no one has returned calls. Concerns about public notice, survey procedures, cumulative impacts, and property values.	CP15-93-000	Abutter	OH-HA-014.200	Will contact landowner to address concerns. Public notice and survey procedures are addressed in RR8. Property values are addressed in RR5. Mainlines A and B, MP 158.9 (Wood County).
3/13/2015	Russ & Jean France	Bowling Green, OH	20150313-5000	Are not against pipelines, just do not want pipeline on their property. Concerns about impacts to agriculture and soil.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-WO-074.000	Crossing drain tile at 90 degree angle and paralleling existing pipeline. Crossing of agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Mainlines A and B, MP 180 (Wood County)
3/25/2015, 3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Richfield Township, Ohio	20150330-5133 20150330-5282	Meienburg, Louise - Relocate pipeline adjacent to property's southern boundary.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-HE-016.500	Has been located to the southerly property line. Mainlines A and B, MP 187.
3/25/2015, 3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Monroe Township, Ohio	20150330-5133 20150330-5282	Maassel, Fred - Relocate pipeline so that it will intersect and cross an existing pipeline closer to the eastern boundary instead of the middle of the field.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-HE-024.000 OH-HE-025.000	Mainlines A and B, MP 189.9. Appears request may apply to adjacent property (Larry Maassel). Rover is evaluating request.
3/25/2015, 3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Flatrock Township, Ohio	20150330-5133 20150330-5282	Franz, Gerald - Relocate pipeline so that the pipeline runs a straight line west through property instead of a 45 degree angle to minimize impacts on drainage tile and farming operations.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-HE-047.000	Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8 address crossing of agricultural land and drain tiles. Mainlines A and B, MP 195.6.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
3/25/2015, 3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Flatrock Township, Ohio	20150330-5133 20150330-5282	J&R Property Holdings - Relocate pipeline so that runs in a straight line west through property parallel with southern boundary instead of slight angle that will impact tile system and farming operations.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-HE-049.000	Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8 address crossing of agricultural land and drain tiles. Mainlines A and B, MP 196.2.
3/25/2015, 3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Richland Township, Ohio	20150330-5133	Meyer, Jim - Relocate pipeline so that the pipeline does not impact woodland area.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-DE-002.000	Rover is evaluating request. Woodland is forested wetland. Mainlines A and B, MP 201.3.
3/25/2015, 3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Adams Township, Ohio	20150330-5133 20150330-5282	Hornish, Harold & Jean - Relocate pipeline so that the pipeline will run further north on property before bending to the west and be further north on Ms. Schlosser's property and closer to her north boundary line. Current route goes through middle of properties affecting agriculture, planned home site, potential water wells, drainage tile system, and farming operations.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-DE-027.000 OH-DE-028.000	Crossing of agricultural land and drain tiles are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Requested route would have greater environmental impact. Mainlines A and B, MP 207.2
3/30/2015	Mark Amicone	Shelby, Ohio	20150330-5149 20150330-5153	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-029.000	Duplicate. No response required.
3/31/2015	Marijan E. Grogoga	Mansfield, OH	20150331-5210	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-008.000	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/31/2015	Janet Grogoga	Shelby, OH	20150331-5214; 20150331-5491	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-036.000	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/31/2015	Jeff Grogoga	Unknown	20150331-5610 20150331-5636	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/31/2015	Marijan E. Grogoga	Mansfield, OH	20150331-5215	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-RI-008.000	No site specific comments; No response required.
<b>Market Segment</b>								
12/18/2014	Erik and Mary Hansen	Dexter, MI	20141218-4024	Purchased property in 1994 for purpose of enjoying rural life and built home on property (own 22 acres). Before could build home had to first be drilled in order to determine if enough water was available to meet the flow rate determined by Washtenaw County Environmental Health Dept. and Building and Inspection Dept. Our area is a "well first" designated area. We barely made the required flow rate. Many other homes have faced the same situation and had to have wells re-drilled because the aquifer ran dry and they had to have a new well drilled going much deeper. Another problem is the presence of salt water that can be hit if a well is drilled too deep in the wrong place. Our well hit salt water at 120 ft. and they had to backfill with concrete to shut off the salt water flow. A home adjacent to our property barely met a minimum depth requirement and had to request a variance. Rover will pass extremely close to this home. Pipeline will disrupt the western end of a water recharge area and comes within several hundred feet of Mill Creek. Enbridge crossed eastern edge of recharge area and had to drill down to 50 ft. in order to hit stable soil. Pipeline will also disrupt several parks in our county. Ask that move pipeline to Panhandle Eastern Pipeline easement or deny it.	PF14-14-000	Abutter	G -07-16-100-012	Near Market segment MP 77. Offline. Rerouted to Panhandle Eastern easement. Map MI-WA-Hansen Tract, filed February 2015.
12/18/2014	Irene Poley	Brooklyn, MI	20141218-0022	I am writing this letter because we are very concerned about pipeline and location on our farm land. We already have a gas pipeline going through the land (fields), and this one will go through another location, right through the middle of field, that is farmed. We also believe it would keep us from selling this farm. Our thought would be if you would follow existing gas pipeline this would be a little easier to accept then in the middle of the field.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-066.510	Rerouting to follow Panhandle Pipeline easement to reduce impacts to multiple landowners. Map MI-WA-066.510, filed February 2015.

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/18/2014	Thomas Watson	Howell, MI	20141218-0062	Enbridge construction caused damage to his home. Stripped large part of land of trees and foliage. Lives were disrupted for 3 years by their project. Still forced to pay taxes on land they cannot use due to easement. Enbridge still hasn't replaced what they damaged and won't return their calls. Has 5 acres of land, the added Rover easement on top of Enbridge easement makes a large part of land unusable to them.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	3525 N. Eager Rd. is approximately 6.97 miles east of Rover Pipeline - Market Segment MP 100.
12/18/2014	Andrew and Catherine King	Tipton, MI	20141218-5018	Engineered to cross a portion of their woodlands and will lose hundreds of trees which are irreplaceable. Moved there with intent of setting up sanctuary. Plan for it to be enjoyed as a place of respite and natural beauty by eco-therapy groups, non-profit social services agencies and others. It is our dream to share this holy place and space with others that they may experience healing. Cuts through walking trails and limits scope of retreat offering.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-LE-119.000	Rover is evaluating reroute for this area. RR8 addresses land use impacts and mitigation during and after construction.
12/18/2014	Patrick Carney, Putnam Township Treasurer	Putnam, MI	20141218-5129	Objects project because the proposed route is against his property line and within 50 ft. of his house. At township board meeting passed motion to object project because it would adversely affect property values.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-LI-003.500	Rover proposed a reroute in the February submittal to move away from ITC in this area to avoid a contaminated site and the expansion of a public water treatment facility. From discussions with the water treatment facility, an HDD of the facility is not recommended and is against Rover policies regarding construction within or near contaminated soils. Property values are addressed in RR5.
12/18/2014	Andrew M. Jamieson for ITC Transmission and Michigan Electric Transmission Company LLC ("METC") (collectively, "ITC")	Novi, MI	20141218-5370 20150330-5532	Motion to intervene and comments. Very specific list of information requests with regard to the transmission crossing	PF14-14-000; CP15-93-000	Landowner/Other	--	Rover Pipeline and ITC are in discussions regarding the proposed pipeline route.
12/18/2014	Michael S. Sessions, City Administrator Clerk (City of Morenci)	Morenci, MI	20141218-5116 20141224-0037	Special meeting at Morenci City Council, opposition of route as proposed through Lenawee County, Michigan. Route comes within quarter mile of city limits of municipality and 2,000 people would have to be evacuated if natural gas disaster. Wants route at least 1 mile from city limits.	PF14-14-000	Landowner/Other	--	Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.
12/18/2014	Carol Bilot	Bruce Township, MI	20141218-5328	Concerned about safety of pipeline on her property or property of loved ones. Doesn't feel it is necessary. Hundreds of lives could be lost if a breach. Concerned about contamination of wells and resale value. ETC should pay a premium if want land and be forced to buy houses for those who don't want to live next to a pipeline.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/18/2014	Kendra Knieper	Grand Blanc, MI	20141218-5316	Proposed route goes through their property. Wooded 18-acre parcel with home built in 2008. Property consists of several acres of regulated wetland and thousands of mature standing timber. Cleared strip would be devastating to their property, its value, and potentially their safety.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/18/2014	Susan Snethkamp	Metamora, MI	20141218-5217	Opposes pipelines. Worried about safety and property values. Also feels ground is not stable enough, vibrates when trucks going to gravel pit pass.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/18/2014	John Kuschell	Adrian, MI	20141218-5181	Opposition to pipeline. County is top producer of agriculture and this will affect resale value. Transfers gas through county with no benefit to county. Threat to general welfare of residents. These pipelines require additional property tax revenue to pay for emergency medical and accident training, equipment, and personnel required for evacuations and for emergency response in the event of explosion, fire, or other accidents.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Crossing of agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan. Pipeline safety is addressed in RR11. RR5 addresses socioeconomics.
12/18/2014	Mark Cornwell	Holly, MI	20141218-5180	Board trustee member urging to reject proposal. This pipeline proposal has the appearance of circumventing state and federal wetlands ordinances for the benefit of a private concern with the assistance of the over reach of the federal government.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Rover is in consultation with state and federal agencies regarding wetland and waterbody impacts and will adhere to any permits and conditions for the Project. RR2 addresses water use and quality. No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/18/2014	Mary Jo Patel	Hadley Township, Metamora, MI	20141218-5163	Property is bound by woods and pipeline would decimate its value. Has dog training business this would disrupt. Also would disrupt wildlife in wooded area on property. Rover should consider alternate routes that have less environmental, community, business and residential impact. Worried about drain tile interruption and land locking by impacts to driveway during construction. A. Follow the Nexus pipeline route and use existing right of ways and easements granted them. B. Use high voltage power line easements, especially in Lapeer County and move away from landowner\ properties. C. Use public easement along 1-69 highway directly to Canada. In closing, we ask that FERC deny this proposed pipeline route, or at a minimum, exclude Hadley Township based on the impact to the landowners and the environment.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/18/2014	Dan & Trudy Brewer	Fenton Township, MI	20141218-5058	Aggressively oppose use of condemnation to obtain use of land. Upset about loss of natural surroundings, impacts to wetlands, and loss of farmland that may never bare crops again. Wants aggressive pursuit of alternative energy sources.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/18/2014	Karen and Don Distelrath	Columbus, MI	20141218-5055	Past 28 years has worked to establish wildlife sanctuary on their 20 acres. It is flourishing and teeming with a biodiversity of plants and animals. More specifically, we are primarily focusing on the conservation of pollinators and their habitats. Working with NRCS participating in the honey bee pollinator initiative that President Obama signed on June 20, 2014. Due to the construction of the ET rover pipeline on our property, this would greatly hinder our efforts. Forage areas consisting of native trees, shrubs and plants currently existing and planted for our honeybee colonies would be negatively impacted.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/18/2014	Theodore Berger	Lapeer, MI	20141218-5049	Suggests more closely follow the high tension power lines that run east to west about a mile north of where the pipeline currently runs in Lapeer Twp., MI (owned by ITC). Provided a Google Earth image of proposed reroute along the ITC corridor.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/18/2014	Fred Kamradt	Goodrich, Hadley Township, MI	20141218-5028	Opposes sending natural resources across the border to Canada. No benefit to their community. FERC allowed sale of pipeline 2 years ago due to no demand.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/18/2014	Martin Saltiel	Flint (Atlas Township), MI	20141218-5015	Owns 10 vacant acres. Land being considered for installation down the entire 1,333 foot length of the northernmost border of our parcel. Opposes due to safety and environmental concerns.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/18/2014	Harley B. Rider, Supervisor of Dexter Township	Dexter, MI	20141218-5000	Not for Township, give careful consideration to lack of benefits to community and way it will change the community.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Benefits are documented in RR1. Socioeconomics is addressed in RR5.
12/18/2014	Marvin and Marlene Rosander	Manchester, MI	20141218-4037	The pipeline will take 150 ft. of our woods and we already have a easement for a power line. We love our woods and heat our house with wood. The trees that are planted after the pipeline will not benefit us in our lifetime. It makes me very sad to think of the loss of our beautiful woods.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-023.500	Land use during and after construction is addressed in RR8.
12/18/2014	Nancy Shiffler	Ann Arbor, MI	20141218-4035	Opposes due to watersheds crossed, potential to impact wetlands and Pinckney Recreation Area, lack of need for it, and adverse impact on landowners and communities.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Watershed and wetland impacts are addressed in RR2. Crossing of the Pinckney Recreation Area is addressed in RR8. Socioeconomics are addressed in RR5.
12/18/2014	Dan Minton	Monroe, MI	20141218-4034	As a member of the local community, I support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and to Michigan.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Scott McDonald	Haslott, MI	20141218-4033	I attended the scoping meeting today to support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and family.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Julie Norwood	Chelsea, MI	20141218-4032	Property is in the kill zone/blast zone. Not going through her property, but behind it. Deserves compensation since she will be living in the danger zone so she has opportunity to move out without losing value of her home.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11. Compensation is addressed in RR5.
12/18/2014	Ronald Kardos	Fenton, MI	20141218-4026	Enclosed a CD with listing more than 400 comments that have been made in opposition to the proposed ET Rover Pipeline. These comments include issues that should be addressed by FERC prior to issuing a permit and include the following: Safety in event of a pipeline failure, decreases property values, potential increase for homeowners insurance, negative potential for issues relating to mortgages, health issues in event of a pipeline failure, negative impacts to wetlands, farmlands, wildlife, waterways, soils, loss of forested areas, and no proven necessity. There are abundant comments that relate to "specific issues" for property owners.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/18/2014	Zane Hubbard	Lansing, MI	20141218-4025	I urge the support of this pipeline construction.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Gary Littleford	Pleasant, MI	20141218-4023	As a member of the local community, I support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and to Michigan.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Danniel Hurdy	Ypsilanti, MI	20141218-4022	As a member of the local community, I support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and to Michigan.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Ben Lyman	Eaton Rapids, MI	20141218-4021	As a member of the local community, I support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and to Michigan.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Eli James	Webberville, MI	20141218-4020	I attended the scoping meeting today to support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and family.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Steven Haring	Clare, MI	20141218-4019	Supports Project and benefits will bring to community.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Brandon Hawkins	Munith, MI	20141218-4018	As a member of the local community, I support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and to Michigan.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Jerry Geer	Ypsilanti, MI	20141218-4017	I attended the scoping meeting today to support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and family.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/18/2014	Rico Grear	Unknown, MI	20141218-4016	As a member of the local community, I support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and to Michigan.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Dakota Russ	Chelsea, MI	20141218-4015	I attended the scoping meeting today to support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and family.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Dale S.	Ypsilanti, MI	20141218-4014	As a member of the local community, I support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and to Michigan.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Mia Dale	Monroe, MI	20141218-4013	I attended the scoping meeting today to support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and family.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Dan Federson	Eaton Rapids, MI	20141218-4012	I attended the scoping meeting today to support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and family.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Ted Briggs	Wayne, MI	20141218-4011	As a member of the local community, I support the Rover Pipeline Project and the benefits it will bring to my community and to Michigan.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Suzanne Bade	Pinckney, MI	20141218-4010	Pipeline proposed buffer zone in Washtenaw City includes Silver Lake along Dexter Townhall Rd. That lake is spring fed and houses abundant fish, migratory waterfowl, native birds, mammals, and other natural life. It also supports a state park well known for clean swimming water. The pipeline presents a direct and dangerous threat to this beautiful and precious natural resource.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Water use and quality is addressed in RR2. Fisheries, vegetation, and wildlife are addressed in RR3. Land use and recreational areas are addressed in RR8. Reliability and safety is addressed in RR11.
12/18/2014	Patricia Gale	Goodrich, MI	20141218-0049	Pipeline is proposed to be within 1-1/2 miles from us and therefore within the disaster area. Mention has been made that E.T. Rover may be considering the use of high power transmission line easements.... We have two towers on the back 20A, which is approximately 1/2 mile from our buildings and home. Our concerns are the size and depth pipe will be buried, the amount of unscented gas and pressure at which it will be transmitted, effect of a breach in the pipe on wells, natural streams and creeks, woods, and wetlands, decrease in property value, duplication of same gas source, and will not supply any natural gas beyond Shiawassee County (Michigan). Preliminary route shows the pipeline going through the corner of the Sutherland Nature Center. Not enough emergency response manpower in the event of a pipeline breach. A pipeline rupture would be devastating to the watershed. Damage to farm lands and lack of need. Propose a less invasive route.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11. Impacts to wetlands and waterbodies are addressed in RR2. Property values and other socioeconomic factors are addressed in RR5. Purpose and need are documented in RR1. Crossing agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Alternatives are addressed in RR10.
12/18/2014	Jeff Schwehofer	East China, MI	20141218-0048	I am writing on behalf of my mother Elaine Schwehofer. Rover is planning on taking this property from us to drill under the river for the pipeline. I had plans on purchasing this property from my mother to build a house on. Provided proposed alternative routes to the north and the south of the property.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Jeff Schwehofer	East China, MI	20141222-5219	Rover negotiated in good faith with his family regarding purchase of property.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/18/2014	Renee Crowley	Goodrich, MI	20141218-0047 20141223-0063	Opposition to the pipeline. This is not a public necessity. Has illness and this land sustains my life. Over many years gone to great lengths to ensure that home and land stay as pure and organic as possible. We have planted and landscaped for years to protect the land and our home and to keep the environmental landscape in balance. We grow our vegetables to ensure organic benefits. Springtime we will be growing organic botanicals to supplement our income for an all-natural organic skincare company I am involved with. Extensive modifications have been performed on house.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/18/2014	Chris Tippin	Goodrich, MI	20141218-0046	Opposes the pipeline through Hadley Township. Less than 2 years ago Energy Transfer sold transmission line to Enbridge. Pipeline does not supply natural gas beyond the MichCon delivery point. It is not in the common good of Michigan residents beyond Livingston County, adverse effects on the environment, increase costs to government for fire and police training, and road repair and maintenance. Requests reroute to existing easements.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Purpose and need is addressed in RR1. Effects on the environment are addressed in RR2 and RR3. Socioeconomic impacts are addressed in RR5. Route alternatives are addressed in RR10.
12/18/2014	Micheal Gilleran	China Township, MI	20141222-0010	He and his neighbors intend to build on lots proposed to be crossed by Rover. Requests that Rover follow existing easements and be consolidated. Concerned about impacts to farmers, loss of woods, and wetland impacts.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/19/2014	James P. Lusty	Goodrich, MI	20141219-5053	The environmental impact on my parcel would be great. This area is currently wildlife habitat. Reasonable alternative would be to follow property owner's property lines. If route would follow their south property line, environmental impact would be less because consists of mostly brush versus mature trees. Would disrupt ability to farm a portion of their property, if followed property line would be no disruption. Is it possible for pipeline to follow I-75 and I-79 Interstates?	PF14-14-000	Offline	MI-GE-073.000	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/19/2014	Greg Whitman	Metamora, MI	20141219-5344	Opposes project in Metamora, MI and upset about threats of eminent domain in community. Suggested use of ITC corridor rather than private landowner property.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/19/2014	Daniel Du Richer	Hadley Township, Metamora, MI	20141219-5019	Property is small horse farm, raise and train show horses. Pipeline enters through a large horse paddock within 250 ft. of stable and arena. Paddock will be useless during construction, preparation, and restoration. Will have significant negative impact on the farm. Removal of trees deliberately planted in the 1970's. Irrigation lines running through the woodlands. The construction of the pipeline, the noise and commotion from construction will adversely affect the training, health and disposition of my horses. The horses need to be turned out for grazing which will be significantly impacted by the loss of the large paddock. It is \$600 per month per horse if horses need to be relocated. More viable alternatives: large high voltage ITC power line easement from mile marker 149 north and then east to mile marker 164 through a significant portion of Lapeer County, Michigan. The east / west leg runs approximately 1/2 mile north of the present proposed route. The movement to the ITC easement would greatly mitigate the impact on property owners in Lapeer County. As a further alternative there is also a significant public easement for the I-69 corridor. Both of which would significantly reduce the impact on local landowners.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/19/2014	Laura Douglas	Ortonville, MI	20141219-5010	Michigan is like a sponge afloat in the great lakes. Our land is bogs and many lakes and rivers and after spring thaw, I'll find rocks the size of softballs "coughed up" onto my yard from below. We, unlike OH or PA use wells for our drinking water! Our land is like walking on a waterbed! How can you even hope to keep that stable? I understand that whole "shortest distance between two points" thing, but how can you assure our water purity? Do you have pressure sensors? How far apart?	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Water use and quality are addressed in RR2. Soils are addressed in RR7. Geological resources are addressed in RR8. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.



**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/19/2014	Frank & Doris L Vrsek	Metamora, MI	20141219-5009	Concerned about effects of pipelines on farmed land and the heat generation disruption of the soil system organisms as well as drain tile disturbance. Also concerned regarding landowners that have signed contracts with fracking companies and how this will affect high pressure pipelines. Ground heaving concerns due to the freeze-thaw cycles. Pipeline is sited for 200 ft. from house and concerned will make property unsellable and possibly uninsurable.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/19/2014	Susan Ruvido	Metamora, MI	20141219-5008	Have geothermal piping that goes underground from my house to my property line which is within feet of where said company is proposing to dig to install a gas pipeline. This geothermal piping provides heating and cooling for my house and cannot be disturbed.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/19/2014	Rachel Verschaeve	Lapeer, MI	20141219-5006	The ET Rover Pipeline is an unnecessary hazard for Lapeer County, Michigan and the entire Great Lakes region. The planned pipeline will destroy natural habitats, water, and private property, while leaving absolutely no benefits for local communities.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/19/2014	Micheal Casler	Grand Blanc, MI	20141219-5005	Please consider the following issues before issuing a permit: Safety in the event of pipeline failure, decreased property values, health issues in the event of a pipeline failure, negative impacts to wetlands, farmland, wildlife, and the loss of forested areas. Without assurance of maintaining safety, health, and environmental quality, we oppose this pipeline.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Pipeline reliability and safety is addressed in RR11. Property values and other socioeconomic factors are addressed in RR5. Wetland impacts are addressed in RR2. Agricultural land crossings are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8.
12/19/2014	Cynthia Waidley	Adrian, MI	20141219-5003	The proposed pipeline, as shown, will cross the environmentally sensitive Irish Hills and the headwaters of the River Raisin in southern Washtenaw and northern Lenawee Counties. This is an ecologically fragile area of artesian lakes, fens, springs, and groundwater recharge areas. The dangers of resource extraction and pipeline transport have already been well-documented.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	RR 2 addresses water use and quality and sensitive crossings. RR 3 addresses fisheries, vegetation, and wildlife. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.
12/19/2014	Dan Lauwers State Representative	St. Clair, MI	20141219-5000	My goal, as an agriculturalist and state representative of many farmers, is to impress upon you the need to work with farmland property owners to make the pipeline as minimally disruptive as possible. I have been a Certified Crop Advisor for nearly twenty years and have seen much damage done by pipeline installation with little respect for land owner concerns, which include damaging tile, soil structure and following natural field boundaries.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Agricultural crossings and drain tiles are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Rover continues to work with landowners to minimize potential impacts to agricultural land and drain tiles.
12/22/2014	Anne Sousanis	Dyrden, MI	20141222-4058	The proposed pipeline is not a public necessity, will not benefit Lapeer County, raises serious safety concerns, will lower property values and ability of residents to sell their homes, negatively impact environmental resources, county roads, and agriculture. Also concerned this pipeline could become a transmission line from fracking in Michigan.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	James Herman	Allenton, MI	20141222-4057	Concerned about zig zagging of the proposed route, which takes more land than is needed. Has 3 pipelines on main farm. Instead of following those pipelines, the proposed pipeline is destroying another 80 acre farm of his.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/22/2014	Nathan Richendellar	Richmond, MI	20141222-4056	I take environmental protection very seriously, which is why I support the pipeline! The alternative to the production of cheap, clean natural gas is the production and burning of coal, which produces sulfur oxide and causes acid rain. I have seen the effects of acid rain in the Appalachians and prefer natural gas to either an ethanol-induced "corn desert" or more bituminous coal.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/22/2014	Ed Reim	Columbus Township, MI	20141222-4055	I personally believe that any new pipelines should follow already established road right-of-ways and/or pipeline easements. Why should new lands be destroyed or ruined? I am in favor of lines that are truly needed but better planning than what has been planned by Rover.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Marsden M. Murphy	Columbus, MI	20141222-4054	Requesting re-route north approximately 300-400 ft. Adjacent neighbors approve and favor a more northerly route. There is a 4-inch drain pipe and surface drain within right-of-way. A vegetable garden, various nut trees and bushes, grapevine, and trees used as privacy screens would be impacted by Project. Multiple groomed trails were also developed on this property as well as a stocked pond and wind mill.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Robert J. Farley	Almont, MI	20141222-4053	Property has been enrolled for more than 40 years in the State of Michigan Farmlands and Open Spaces Preservation Act and use of land for pipeline is inconsistent with the intent of the Preservation Program. Original proposed route will bisect an open meadow that was the location of the Belle Arbor settlement of the 1840's founded as a Utopian community by Reverend Shaw. Many of original settlers buried in nearby Webster Cemetery. Established a landscape art project on Belle Arbor site using new landscape planting in conjunction with mature trees that appear to have been planted by the original settlers. Property has been owned by members of the same family for more than 160 years. The pipeline construction will destroy the cultural significance of the Belle Arbor site that has yet to be fully examined and documented, and will destroy the art installation.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Michael Gilleran	East China, MI	20141222-4052	Already have existing natural gas pipelines on their property. Construction of an additional pipeline would prevent them from building their new home. Will also affect resale value of home. If a third pipeline is needed is deemed necessary, an alternate route should be sought.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Charles Johnson	Metamora, MI	20141222-4050	Please fact check the aerial photographs that were submitted to the Metamora meeting. They did not include his house.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Maps of the proposed project route are included in RR1, APP1C-1.
12/22/2014	Mike Preble	Fowlerville, MI	20141222-4049	The point of this meeting was to review the effects this project will have on the environment in our state to move a product who the end user isn't even a US citizen. Environmental impact will by far outlast any temporary job creation.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Effects on natural resources and wildlife are addressed in RR2 and RR3. Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/22/2014	Fred Townsend, Board member of the Lapeer Land Conservancy	Metamora, MI	20141222-4047	In 1998, a 75-acre parcel of land was deeded to the Lapeer Land Conservancy by Doris Sutherland to be cared for as a nature sanctuary. The deed specifies that the land shall always remain in the natural state... no improvements or changes of any kind or nature whatsoever shall occur other than those necessary for ecological preservation of biological diversity. The Lapeer Land Conservancy opposes the construction of ET Rover Pipeline through the Sutherland Nature Sanctuary and adjacent lands.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Deborah Ladner	Metamora, MI	20141222-4046	Resident's water supply would be contaminated with a spill. No city water, all on wells. Artisan wells are in direct line of proposed route. Hadley township has frost freezes which has impacted 48", this is not an anomaly, so is major concern with ground heaving. Eastern boundary is home to bats and other wildlife. Farmers Creek also a historic settlement. Pipeline would be least disruptive if followed the ITC corridor.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Diane Karol	Lapeer, MI	20141222-4045	Questions that should be addressed in making a decision: 1) Can implementing the law of eminent domain be justified when there is no benefit to those in the affected communities? 2) Shouldn't the emphasis be on developing alternative energy sources and conserving and reducing use of fossil fuels and not encouraging their exploitation?	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Eminent domain is addressed in RR8. Benefits are addressed in RR1.
12/22/2014	Susan Kelly	Milford, MI	20141222-4043	Displeased there were no maps of ET Rover route through Livingston County. This detailed information should be available online and in person.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Maps of the Rover Pipeline route are available in RR1, APP1C-1.
12/22/2014	Shirley Kautman-Jones, Township of Atlas	Township of Atlas, Grand Blanc, MI	20141222-4042	Concerns regarding environmental, safety, and diminished value of homes and therefore opposes the project.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Shirley Kautman-Jones	Township of Atlas, Grand Blanc, MI	20141222-4041	Do not feel it is a necessity and not for the common good of Michigan residents.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Benefits are addressed in RR1.
12/22/2014	U.S. House of Rep., Daniel Kildee	--	20141222-4039	Rep. for 5th Congressional District of Michigan submitting constituent comments. District encompasses 6 counties including Genesee County, MI. Residents state not receiving timely information, feel intimidated by company contractors throughout survey process, and concerns regarding environmental, safety, and land values. Includes numerous letters from constituents.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Landowner notifications and survey protocols are addressed in RR8. Effects on natural resources are addressed in RR2 and RR3. Property values are addressed in RR5.
12/22/2014	Ron & Pearl Hall	Goodrich, MI	20141222-4037	They have a wetland on their property that the proposed route will cross. If the route is moved a little further north down the consumer's easement, they would miss their forest completely. They have wildflowers in the woods and one of them is Jeffersonia diphylla or twon's leaf but they are only there in the spring.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/23/2014	Holly Township Board of Trustees Comments	Holly Township, Holly, MI	20141218-5337 20141223-0058	Holly Township is inappropriate placement due to: Great Lakes National Veterans Cemetery on Belford Road is 2000 feet south of the proposed pipeline route. Township primary revenue is tourism, formed Lost Lake Nature Preserves, over \$1,000,000 donated & multi-agency effort, pipeline going right through it. Fire Dept. limited resources and no public water supply to respond to fires. Recommends Rover provide 2 wells in locked in enclosure so can respond to fires. Make sure North Holly Road is never shut down, no other reasonable route to Genysys Regional Medical hospital from Holly Township. Want to see an emergency contingency plan. Development potential of some land will be lost due to the pipeline. Must not impact private septic tanks & wells. Proposed route impacts Holly's future growth potential, areas have been zoned with that growth potential in mind. Want all dirt roads used for project to be paved so remains viable in the future. Contribute to dust control measures currently in place to compensate for added traffic. Want natural gas lines extended to the residents of Holly, especially those directly impacted by the pipeline - receive natural gas for their homes at no cost. Map debacle - printed upside down and towns transposed at Rover scoping meeting.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Ramona Hodges	Swartz Creek, MI	20141222-4036	Owner of 80 acres and pipeline will cross the entire width. Has been in family over 50 years. Doesn't want to disrupt the natural habitat, it's their sanctuary.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Robert G Kaplan	Metamora, MI	20141222-4035	Hadley is a swamp - very wet area. Why not run the pipe through a drier area? Yard has sink holes and is sinking in various areas. Ground is very unstable and pipeline will require a lot of maintenance.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Julie Greiss	Flint, MI	20141222-4034	Against fracking and exporting natural gas.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	General objection; No response required.
12/22/2014	Thomas Gagnon	Atlas Township, MI	20141222-4033	Has property with mature maple trees and this area is also what supplies firewood they use to heat their home. Pipeline to pass through the middle of their woodlot and will harvest 33% of the trees. Plans to start selling the maple syrup. Already has two transmission lines on property. Enclosed various alternative routes for consideration.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Ronald Kardos	Fenton, MI	20141222-4032	Has issues with methods of soil restoration which they've documented from past pipeline projects. The topsoil and sub soil become intermingled and the ground becomes compacted, leaving it unproductive. Also concerned about the impact to natural resources.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Beverly Hartwig Trust	Hadley Township, Metamora, MI	20141222-4031	Concerned about export of gas and two competitive companies both proposing to transport gas through Michigan. Provided alternate proposed route that utilizes existing easements.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	David Bannister	Metamora, MI	20141222-4030	Property has unstable soil near lake and area where digging highway had to constantly fill with sand to fill large hole to construct road. While making trail behind Hall Lake, they had a bull dozer sink and almost got lost. Property is also near many waterbodies that connect to Flint River watershed.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/22/2014	Daniel Du Rocher	Metamora, MI (Hadley Township)	20141222-4029	Own small horse farm where they raise and train show horses. It is \$268K in potential lost income from the trees that would be cut. Previous property they owned had a pipeline across it which made it difficult to sell and also land with a pipeline will receive less money than an equivalent property without a pipeline on it. Four houses within this area, each within 250 ft. of the pipeline. Hopes that Rover will move route to existing easements and utilize less land with less impacts.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Gary and Aura Detheridge	Metamora / Hadley, MI	20141222-4028	Michigan has had unprecedented frost heave in 2013 and the ground freeze was at a depth of 48" on our road resulting in damage to water lines, etc. This would push a 42" pipe to tilling depth or higher of most equipment resulting in catastrophic damage.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Flint River Watershed Coalition	Flint, MI	20141222-4027	Feels FERC should deny certificate on account of 1) Environmental Impacts. 2) Public Convenience and Necessity. Concerns for the Flint River include: Construction & operational impacts on the fragile headwaters of river system that provides drinking water to 250,000 people. Impacts to springs, wetlands, groundwater, and headwater regions, and impacts to agricultural lands. Project will affect 6 sub-watersheds. Kearsley Creek is the only cool water stream in the region & supports vibrant trout fishery supported by Michigan DNR.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Water use and quality are addressed in RR2. Crossing of agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Fisheries are addressed in RR3.
12/22/2014	Six Rivers Land Conservancy	Flint, MI	20141222-4026	Project cuts through center of a 538-acre nature preserve. Also cuts through the center of headwaters region of the South Branch of the Flint River. Rover uses more green field than other proposed projects.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	The Lost Lake Preserve in northern Oakland and southern Genesee counties are no longer affected. See Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative.
12/22/2014	Billy Buchanan	Metamora, MI	20141222-4025	Have provided Rover with alternate routes using ITC corridor which will have less environmental impact and is safer to neighborhoods. On his property, route goes through four beautiful land parcels, drainage ditches, a creek, two mature tree lines, heavily wooded area, and ends up next to an 1850's farm house that has been preserved to keep with the heritage of the area (Farmer's Creek).	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Roberto Coba	Tipton, MI	20141222-4015	Has two natural groundwater springs, various dependent wildlife, wetlands, and does not want on his property. There is a nearby existing easement on Munger Rd.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-LE-118.000	Rover is evaluating for reroute across this tract. RR2 addresses water use and quality such as impacts to wetlands and waterbodies. Wildlife is addressed in RR3.
12/22/2014	Pamela Taylor	Onsted, MI	20141222-4014	Pipeline will cross environmentally sensitive Irish Hills and the headwaters of the River Raisin in southern Washtenaw and northern Lenawee counties. This is an ecologically fragile area of artesian lakes, fens, springs, and groundwater recharge areas. This does not benefit Lenawee County at all.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Rover is in consultation with state and federal agencies to address environmentally sensitive areas and waterbodies crossed. Rover will comply with all permits and conditions for the Project. Benefits are addressed in RR1.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/22/2014	Law Office of Linda S. Berker	Davison, MI	20141222-0108	Public does not have adequate opportunity to comment since route is still being determined. The proposed route bisects many farms posing an unnecessary and permanent disruption to farm production. There is no demonstrated need for additional natural gas capacity in Michigan or in the region in general and negative impacts would be considerable. Negative impacts to waterbodies and the Flint River watershed includes freshwater trout habitat which would be degraded by construction activities. The St. Clair is a fish spawning reef currently under construction and designed to provide a spawning area for sturgeon (T&E species), whitefish, and walleye. The St. Clair river flats are one of the largest fresh water deltas in the world and should be protected from construction activities. Also may be adverse impacts to Irish Hills in Lenawee County, Pinckney Recreation Area in Washtenaw and Livingston Counties, and Metamora/Hadley State Recreation Area, Ortonville State Recreation Area, and Sutherland Nature Center in Lapeer County, etc. All of these recreational areas have documented T&E habitat and most have documented T&E Species. Deeds in place to prohibit construction activities and the Conservancy will defend the restrictions.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Farm production is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Purpose and need is documented in Resource Report 1. RR2 and RR3 address water use and quality and fisheries, vegetation, and wildlife. The proposed route no longer affects the St. Clair River, see Section 10.4.1 of RR 10, Vector System Alternative. Construction will adhere to Rover Plans and Procedures and any permitting requirements developed through agency consultations regarding environmentally sensitive areas or threatened and endangered species and their habitat.
12/22/2014	Donald E. Wenk	Chelsea, MI	20141222-0106	Project will not benefit Washtenaw County or the State of Michigan. Suggests that Rover utilize the Panhandle Eastern pipeline several miles away or highway right-of-way. Project would be destructive to area wildlife and natural resources.	PF14-14-000	Landowner/Other	Is a Wenk listing associated with MI-WA-070.000	RR1 addresses benefits. Route alternatives are addressed in RR10. RR2 addresses water use and quality. RR3 addresses fisheries, vegetation, and wildlife.
12/23/2014	Donald Wenk	Chelsea, MI	20141223-0075	Please say no to the Rover Pipeline. We have a 150 acre hilly farm. The pipeline would go over that and is for sale (not good for selling). Michigan and Consumers Energy have no plans related to the project. We have no natural gas service down our country roads so will have a 42" pipeline and no gas.	PF14-14-000	Landowner/Other	Is a Wenk listing associated with MI-WA-070.000	RR5 addresses property values.
12/22/2014	George Millbrand	Columbus, MI	20141222-0105	The proposed line will be close to my home and water well. The line would split my property in half, leaving the back half useless for future use (buildings). There are several pipelines in the area that could share the right-of-way. Concerns about property loss that will still have to pay taxes on and they will have no access to the natural gas and still must rely on the more expensive propane.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Daniel Romanowski	Metamora, MI	20141222-0093	Property will become worthless, people will go bankrupt, and the environment will suffer.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Ken Milito	Lapeer, MI	20141222-0088	Vehemently opposes pipeline due to environmental impacts on Michigan's waters.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	RR2 addresses water use and quality. No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Mildred	Metamora, MI	20141222-0083	Pipeline will have negative impacts on property. There is an Enbridge pipeline 10 miles away.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Harold & Garre Crosswell	Metamora, MI	20141222-0057	Opposes pipeline. It would be damaging to historical heritage, wildlife, and cultural traditions that only occur in this area.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Nancy McPherson	East China, MI	20141222-0053	Sufficient research has been presented to support the use of existing easements for the Rover Project. Build refinery in US rather than Canada.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/22/2014	David Bull	Metamora, MI	20141222-0041	Would like to see pipeline rerouted north to an existing transmission line right-of-way. Concerned about environmental effects, safety, and property values. If pipeline is a necessity then they would like to see use of double-walled pipe like regulations in Canada. This may increase safety and pose less risk of heating of soils on agriculture lands.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	Ralph Romanowski	Dryden Township, MI	20141222-0037	Negative impacts to wetlands, well water, property values, and farm lands.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/22/2014	George Romanowski	Hamtramck, MI	20141222-0031	Vehemently opposes pipeline due to impacts to wetlands, well water, property values, and farm lands.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	RR2 addresses water use and quality. Property values are addressed in RR5. Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8 address crossing of farmlands.
12/23/2014	Valisa Bristle (Board of Trustees)	Ann Harbor, MI (Freedom Township Board of Trustees)	20141223-5120	The currently proposed path of the new pipeline through Freedom Township passes between a heavily populated area of Pleasant Lake and Consumer Energy Compression Station. As well as through heavily wooded areas which is home to an abundant amount of wildlife as well as wetland habitats, numerous creeks and streams destroying the natural beauty and negatively impacting the ecosystems of these areas. Should utilize existing right-of-way.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11. Wildlife is addressed in RR3. RR2 addresses impacts to waterbodies such as creeks and streams.
12/23/2014	Kelly Brinker	Columbus, MI	20141223-0070	If approved, this will be the third pipeline on their property. They have no access to natural gas. Rover has not followed their guidelines for accessing their property, for their own safety since they hunt, shoot, and have dogs. Concerned about loss of property value.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/23/2014	Sharon Stone	Metamora, MI	20141223-0066	Worried about loss in value of property and being able to sell the house. Concerns over water supply and safety. Opposed to pipeline.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/23/2014	David Stone	Metamora, MI	20141223-0065	No need for the pipeline. Opposes Rover Pipe line Project.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/23/2014	Ed & Sharon Dunsmore	Metamora, MI	20141223-0073	ET Rover were on our door steps after hours and on Sunday without identification. They told my neighbors that if they didn't sign a paper so they could survey their property that they would lose their property. Told other neighbors they would be getting gas to their homes. Some surveyors had armed guards with them. ET Rover is making law abiding citizens take up arms to protect their property and making outlaws of them. If terrorist get in country and they find out about this line, we are all doomed.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Rover survey procedures are addressed in RR8. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.
12/24/2014	Julia Whaley	Fenton, MI	20141224-0041 20141231-0009	Proposed route is 1.5 miles from Lake Fenton Middle School, 2 miles from West Shore Elementary school & Torrey Elementary schools, and about 2 miles from Lake Fenton High School. Opposes pipeline.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/24/2014	David Bannister	Metamora, MI	20141224-0039	I am very concerned with the proposed route of the ET Rover pipeline. This route will have serious economic and environmental concerns that will affect the values of our properties, with no benefits to land owners or our state. Why not build processing facilities so this project will benefit us?	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.
12/24/2014	Edward & Angeline Sienkowski	Bloomfield Hills, MI (Hadley Township)	20141224-0033	ET Rover wants to build a pipeline in the only logical place for building a house. Wants this rerouted to another location so won't impact landowners and farms.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/29/2014	Gene & Cindy Fliedner	Dryden, MI	20141229-5002	The proposed ET Rover pipeline will pass through the Lapeer Land Conservancy Property known as the Sutherland Nature Sanctuary. It will pass through farmland. It will pass through beautiful woodlands and fields. It will pass through waterways and wetlands. At best, it will disrupt wildlife. Opposes pipeline.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/29/2014	William Buchanan	Auburn Hills, MI	20141229-4002	We as a group explained to them that their existing route snaking through a very populated area would not only cause disruption in our family's lives and disrupt the diverse environment but also hurt many property values. On my properties alone they are proposing to go through four beautiful land parcels 3241, 3209, 3177, 3145 Farmers Creek Road, a creek, several drainage ditches, pastures, two mature tree lines and a heavily wooded forested area ending up next to my 1850s farm house that I have preserved in keeping with the communities rich heritage of Farmers Creek, all of this when they have a "super highway easement from ITC" available to them.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/29/2014	Robert Hatwig	Hadley Township, MI	20141229-0081	Pipeline not necessary, disruption/damage to drain tiles, decrease in property value, throughway for farm equipment blocked, safety risk factor. Wants route where currently goes through farmland to go south continuing from Kipp Rd to cross Washburn Rd. and run eastward up the north side of Fox Lake Rd. through state land property. Attached maps.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/29/2014	Kathy Piriness	Goodrich, MI	20141229-0066	Urges use of existing pipeline and transmission line easements. Referred to brother's maps submitted (Kenneth Hartwig).	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/29/2014	Jane Schade	Metamora, MI	20141229-0065	The proposed pipeline corridor through our property cuts a wide diagonal path through the woods, wetlands, and a meadow of native grasses, wildflowers, and milkweed. Have cultivation on other areas of farm but have intentionally left this acreage as it is due to its unique environmental assets. The proposed route would destroy acres of milkweed. Have established several colonies of honey bees trying to reintroduce pollinators. The number of bees and monarch butterflies in our meadow is amazing. Also have a population of bats and other wildlife. Ponds are breeding grounds for the Blanding's turtle, a species of special concern and are protected by Michigan DNR. Less than a mile north is the ITC corridor where ETC could parallel. Lapeer County Road Commission stated that frost reached down to 53 inches last winter. Pipelines are subject to corrosion, stress, and leaks under such weather conditions.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/29/2014	Jeff Schwehofer	East China, MI	20141229-0049	ETC has negotiated in good faith for the sale of the property on the St. Clair River so he would like to rescind the complaint made previously if ETC follows through with the offer.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/29/2014	Eva Noss	Lapeer, MI	20141229-0047	Opposed to this pipeline project. It will destroy natural environment, decrease property values, and do nothing for Lapeer County or the State of Michigan.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
12/29/2014	David and Lina Bannister	Metamora, MI	20141229-0046	Aerial photos of the route is depicted on appears to be old photos and does not show existing residences. Negative affect on property values and environment.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).



**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/30/2014	Gretchen Driskell, State Representative	Lansing, MI	20141230-5212	For a 42" PL, understand that a blast radius extends over 1,100 ft. from the point of ignition. For many homes, the pipeline could come as close as 100 ft. and puts many homes at clear and present risk. Electronic monitoring is in place, with shutoff valves at regular intervals. Should a rupture occur however, the electronic monitoring would do little to save those caught in the blast radius. There is the additional cost to local government for public safety infrastructure, to stand ready to support citizens if needed, a financial burden many communities cannot absorb. Ironically, citizens in these communities do not have access to natural gas.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11. Socioeconomics are addressed in RR5.
1/2/2015	Benjamin Skubik, Victoria Skubik, and Katherine Skubik	Holly, MI (Rose Twnshp)	20150102-5002 20150102-5003 20150102-5004	I oppose the ET Rover Pipeline route proposed to run through Rose Township, Michigan for two main reasons. First, my grandparents will lose their home of 50 years. Second, it will destroy the wetland area of the property. The wetlands on the property are documented by the American Zoological Association/Frog Watch USA and have been surveyed for the past three years for the presence of native amphibian species. Eight species have been identified on the property by certified surveyors. This unmolested population serves as a control group of these important amphibian indicator species. If the pipeline goes through this area, the frogs will be killed, the habitat will be destroyed and the control group will be lost forever. ET Rover cannot repair the damage that will be done to the wetlands and the native species thriving therein. The FERC should not allow ET Rover to destroy these wetlands.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/12/2015	Paula Redmond	Woodhaven, MI	20150112-5043	Cited examples of past pipe explosions and the damage they caused. Urged FERC not to approve.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	RR11 addresses reliability and safety.
1/13/2015	Brian Waters	Manchester, MI	20150113-0088	Pipeline is surveyed to go through middle of woods where I tap maple trees to make maple syrup. I will lose a great number of trees, effectively putting me out of business. Woods is on a 20 year logging rotation to produce healthy woods and maximize syrup production. Also, our woods are a nesting site for the endangered Indiana bat, one of the few places in southern Michigan. Disabled veteran and the maple syrup I sell makes up a 1/3 of my income.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-033.000	Route minimizes impact to trees on the property. Coordinating with landowner to further minimize issues. Compensation is addressed in RR5. The Indiana bat and habit are addressed in RR3.
1/14/2015	Joseph Alex Jaje III	Goodrich, MI	20150114-0021	Not a public necessity. Family has farmed this land since the 1800's. Farming will be difficult due to the proposed layout of the pipeline. Concerned what this will do to the water supply and existing bodies of water as well as crops. Will fragment the parcels of land and decrease property value.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/20/2015	Rose Coulter-Owens	Metamora, MI	20150120-5061	Opposed to pipeline. Lack of need, danger to water table, property values go down, property owner unable to sell home if there is an easement. Go north of Lapeer County; this county does not want it.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/20/2015	Rose Coulter-Owens	Metamora, MI	20150120-5059	Opposes pipeline, move to an area with less consequence to people.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/20/2015	Unknown	Lapeer, MI	20150120-0123	Sent card with 2 pictures, one of undisturbed land and the other of pipeline during construction, urge FERC to oppose Project.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/22/2015	Gail Kunz	Lake Orion, MI	20150122-0019	Attached copy of property examination permits which were signed and submitted to Peggy Fihlman on December 19, 2014. Haven't received signed copies from Rover or other formal written response. Immediate response requested.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
2/2/2015	Jesus and Sharyn Robles	Lapeer, MI	20150202-5129	We are Lapeer County, Michigan property owners that are directly affected by the Rover Pipeline project. Line was moved 125 ft. closer to house. They run a day care and this affects their livelihood.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
2/2/2015	Aura Detheridge	Metamora, MI (Hadley Township)	20150202-5033 20150202-5034	Rover has not shown public necessity for this project that would validate the approval of eminent domain or the hardship impacts to resident safety, loss of property use and destruction of environment. Rover has not responded to a large portion of the Lapeer residents impacted by easement, placement or proximity. We and many of our neighbors have submitted alternate routes that include small residential changes to ITC corridor maps which have not yet been addressed or negated without good reason or explanation.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/2/2015	Bruce Haniak	Metamora, MI	20150102-0009	I am a survivor of the Ford Rouge Pipeline explosion and witness to effect of an exploding pipeline. With the amount of pressure Rover is carrying, any amount of leak would be a pipe bomb. Pipes are always moving, welds crack, and once liquid gas leaks under high pressure, it becomes a bomb.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/6/2015	C. Chiarini	Metamora, MI	20150106-0011	Opposes pipeline through Michigan. Serves no benefit to Michigan, causes damage to land, and insult to landowners.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/5/2015	Fred Kamradt	Goodrich, MI	20150105-5063	Multiple errors in filing of important public documents such as a December 10th meeting title saying Flint, OH when should be Flint, MI. The maps delivered to libraries were printed upside down making confusing to read. Seen mistakes small and large in public documents.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative). Maps of the proposed project route are included in RR1, APP1C-1.
1/5/2015	Lois Downs	Metamora, MI	20150105-0026	Opposes due to environmental impacts, impacts to farm and horse stables, and danger.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/5/2015	Tim Timoszyk	Manchester, MI	20150105-0023	Opposes the project, not a public necessity. Proposed route will result in cleared swaths through woodland. Other routes should be utilized, such as the Panhandle Eastern Pipeline ROW or ROWs along highways, which would result in no destruction of woodlands.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Purpose and need is documented in Resource Report 1. Alternatives are addressed in RR 10.
1/5/2015	Harrold & Garre Croswell	Metamora, MI	20150105-0015	Opposes the pipeline through Michigan. It would be damaging to historical heritage, wildlife, and cultural traditions that only occur in this area.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/7/2015	Robert Kaplan	Metamora, MI	20150107-5042	1. Use existing easements like other pipelines, electrical power lines. 2. Use existing or old railways - no one lives near them. 3. Use interstates - ease of installing pipeline with less impact to the environment.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	No longer affected (see Section 10.4.1, Vector System Alternative).
1/7/2015	Chad Kimball	Chelsea, MI	20150107-5013	Project is not necessary. They will still have to truck in very expensive propane while natural gas pipeline is several yards away.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Purpose and need are documented in Resource Report 1.
1/8/2015	Tomas Kimball	Royal Oak, Freedom Township, MI	20150108-5148	Public will receive very little benefit.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Purpose and need are documented in Resource Report 1.
1/8/2015	Andre W LaRou	Tipton, MI	20150108-5003	Neighbors brought to my attention that Rover Pipeline will be boarding my property. I have not been contacted by anyone from this company. Verified by going to township hall and speaking to our township supervisor. Pipeline could go right next to my property. Was planning to sell when retire and this will kill the value of home. A wooded area on property that I hunt on and this action will destroy this. Will be contacting a lawyer to start a class action lawsuit if not compensated for the inconvenience.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Property values and compensation are addressed in RR5 and RR8.

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
2/4/2015	Beasley, Belknap, and McCarthy Families	Freedom/Bridgewater Township, MI	20150204-5019	We are neighbors and have collectively reviewed the latest iteration of the proposed Rover Pipeline. As it is currently drawn, it will come very close to the Beasley's home, as well as the McCarthy's, the Belknap's, and another non-involved home as the pipeline turns north just south of Bemis Rd. Currently the proposed route is threaded very closely between four homes and other related infrastructure. When you look at the map, one wonders why Rover chose to force the path between these four homes, when just to the south and east of these homes is more open land to traverse and still continue the path they desire. Route also comes close to drain fields, clearing of woods, and several wetland areas. Provided a proposed reroute which will require engaging two additional landowners, but will eliminate three.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-040.500, -040.000, 042.000	Will reroute on landowners' property to satisfy his requests. Map MI-WA-042 (MP 65).
2/6/2015	Kenneth and Mary Beasley	Freedom/Bridgewater Township, MI	20150206-0013	We have received the first and latest routes of the proposed Rover pipeline across our property at the above location. We are totally opposed to the pipeline route for the following reasons: New route moves the easement 100 ft. of our septic drain field and approximately 250 ft. from our home. The route enters a wetland on our property. The easement is all natural habitats for wildlife. Requesting consideration of a route submitted January 26, 2015, along with the Belknaps and McCarthy property owners.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-040.500	Will reroute on landowner's property to satisfy his requests. Map MI-WA-042 (MP 65).
2/17/2015	Karen and Todd Revill	Dexter, MI	20150217-5043	We own and live on 14 acres of agriculturally zoned land. ET Rover is proposing to route the pipeline the entire length of our property, within less than 150 feet from our home. This is NOT safe! We are very concerned for our safety. This would be within the kill zone of 600 feet. It's not a matter of if there is a leak; it is when there would be a leak. We would not survive a catastrophe of that kind. We also heat our home with a wood furnace, which would be an ignition source, when the leak happens. This furnace is less than 50 feet from where the pipeline would be installed on our property. We urge you to please deny ET Rover's request to route this pipeline through our property.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-104.000	Safety and reliability are addressed in RR11.
2/19/2015	Lynna Kaucheck	Detroit, MI	20150219-5146	It is imperative that FERC makes decisions on behalf of the public's interest and not a corporation with vested interests. Instead of proliferating the extraction and transfer of fossil fuels, we need to be investing in clean energy projects.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Purpose and need are addressed in RR1.
2/12/2015	Carolyn Otterman	Whitmore Lake, MI	20150212-5072	We live in the Huron River Watershed along Portage (Hell) Creek, adjacent to the Pinckney-Waterloo Recreation Areas (~30,000 acres of protected Wilderness trails and streams). This area was home to the Potawatomi, and was an extremely important area in a historic route called the Potawatomi Canoe Trail which connected Lake Michigan to Lake Huron, before and during the fur trade era. For ecological, historical and anthropological reasons, we need to protect this land. We have been frustrated with the consideration of the ET Rover Project's view that drilling a gas line within 30 feet of homes, and endangering the lives of children along the proposed route. Alternative routes along existing utility easements have been rejected for the sake of cost, to the expense of lives and a fragile river system.	PF14-14-000	Offline	--	Cultural and historical resources are addressed in RR4. RR2 addresses water use and quality, including waterbody crossings. RR3 addresses fisheries, vegetation, and wildlife. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11. Route alternatives are addressed in RR10.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
2/23/2010	Lois Lamparski	Manchester, MI.	20150223-5201	Aunt of the Roy-Borland family, requests reroute and questions the necessity of the pipeline.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	See response to comments 20150330-5007; 20150330-5100; 20150330-5206; 20150330-5212; 20150330-5324; 20150330-5208; 20150223-0034; 20150330-5328; 20150225-0029.
2/11/2015	David Tucker, Nicola Tucker, Theodore Saber, William McCarthy, Yvonne Fent, Wayne Fent, Robin McCarthy, Priscilla Saber, etc.	Freedom Township, MI	20150211-5090	Request FERC's consideration of requiring Rover to follow the right-of-way of the Panhandle Eastern Pipeline. Request utilization of right-of-way they already own. The Panhandle line runs less than two miles east of our property and the property of our neighbors who are also being directly impacted.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Route alternatives are addressed in RR10, filed February 2015.
2/23/2015	David Tucker	Manchester, MI	20150223-5159	Given the Feb 5th press release & agreement between Rover & Vector that claimed that by sharing pipeline capacity from an interconnection point in Livingston County, it would save 140 miles of Rover pipeline from being required thru to the Dawn facility, FERC should now endeavor to require Rover & Vector to develop further pipeline installation savings by the two interconnecting at the Vector pipeline location south of Marshall. This new route would be a straight diagonal shot from Defiance, Ohio thru the less populated rural areas of Branch & Calhoun counties. Such a re-route would eradicate the need for any pipeline installs thru Lenawee, Washtenaw & Livingston counties & save significant miles of pipeline from being installed unnecessarily in higher populated areas.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Route alternatives are addressed in RR10.
2/24/2015	David Tucker	Manchester, MI	20150224-5067	If we further consider that Vector Pipeline L.P. is actually a joint venture between Calgary-based Enbridge Inc., with a 60 percent interest, and Detroit-based DTE Energy Company, with a 40 percent interest, all parties that were originally going to be supplied by the newly proposed Rover pipeline thru SE Michigan are already covered by the agreement with Vector thru existing Enbridge & DTE infrastructure.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Purpose and need are addressed in RR1.
2/24/2015	David Tucker	Manchester, MI	20150224-5039	This separate Vector press release further invites FERC to request that Vector & Rover develop a proposed interconnect outside of populated areas, south of Marshall, MI using existing utilities - this as described above, benefits both parties with bi-directional supply from a central location in Michigan to the east & west customers. This press statement must be taken in consideration that led to the agreement announced on Feb 2nd, 2015 that Rover would interconnect with Rover in Livingston county.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Route alternatives are addressed in RR10.
2/23/2015	David Tucker	Manchester, MI	20150223-5148	Given that the current Vector pipeline is routed from Chicago to this Dawn facility, why would FERC not require Rover to connect the Vector Pipeline just south of Marshall in Calhoun County, MI. In this manner the Rover gas supply from the Defiance station in Ohio, could connect to the Vector Pipeline directly south of Marshall & not require new pipeline to be installed thru Lenawee, Washtenaw & Livingston Counties. The delta between the current route vs. the suggested Marshall interconnection would also save an additional 100+ miles of pipeline that Rover would not need to install in populated areas.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Route alternatives are addressed in RR10.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
2/26/2015	David Tucker	Manchester, MI	20150226-5290 20150223-5195	Commented on press release made by Vector Pipeline - Thus consolidating the evidence that supports a Marshall interconnect on existing utility, thereby eliminating the need for the Lenawee, Washtenaw & Livingston portions of the Rover pipeline in Dockets PF14-14-000 & CP15-93-000. Requesting reroute and removal of Lenawee, Washtenaw, and Livingston pipeline segments. Requesting reroute and interconnect below Marshall, MI.	PF14-14-000, CP15-93-000	Other	--	Route alternatives are addressed in RR10.
3/25/2015 3/30/2015	Emens & Wolper Law Firm	Tiffin Township, Ohio	20150325-5170 20150303-5133 20150330-5282	Pohlmann, Ted and Carol - Relocate pipeline so that pipeline runs straight north through property to minimize impact on drainage tiles.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	OH-DF-011.000	Crossing of agricultural land and drain tiles are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. See Ag Impact Mitigation Plan for crossing drain tiles at angle.
2/4/2015	David Daniel/Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI (Freedom Township)	20150204-5150	The Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust supports the Rover Pipeline route variance request submitted to FERC by the Beasley, Belknap & McCarthy families. Their comment/request was filed in FERCs E-library on 2/4/15 Accession No. 20150204-5019. Project is not a public necessity.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	Purpose and need is documented in RR1. Refer to 20150204-5019 for response to Beasley, Belknap, and McCarthy family submittals.
2/6/2015	David Daniel- Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20150205-5183	Upon review of Resource Report 10 recently submitted by Rover it appears that Rover has not met the filing requirements pertaining to alternatives. Rover has submitted no meaningful major route alternative within the Lenawee and Washtenaw County portions of the Michigan market segment. A lot of attention has been focused on the point past mid-Livingston County. Rover has announced its intention to abandon plans involving six Michigan counties. We are requesting that FERC continue to require Rover to submit major route alternatives along the entire length of the proposed project.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	Rover is evaluating a reroute to follow existing easements, including the Panhandle easement and maximize use of adjacent easements. Route alternatives are addressed in RR10, filed February 2015. Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.
2/6/2015	David Daniel- Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20150206-5135	Regarding Draft Resource Report 10 - Dated Jan. 2015: Rover reports that four basic criteria must be met in order for them to consider an alternative to the project. One of these criteria being "project schedule must be met". This approach to analysis effectively eliminates an excellent alternative, specifically, the mainline A-B Nexus alternative.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	Rover is evaluating a reroute to follow existing easements, including the Panhandle easement and maximize use of adjacent easements. Route alternatives are addressed in RR10, filed February 2015. Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.
1/21/2015 2/6/2015	David Daniel- Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20141229-0082 20150206-5000	Concerning the January 2015 Draft Resource Report 10 submitted by Rover and filed by FERC on 1/27/15 we believe that Rover failed to meet the filing requirement pertaining to alternatives. Specifically the placement of pipelines within or adjacent to existing utility or interstate right-of-ways. We have submitted a potential alternative route that would utilize an existing power-line right-of-way in Washtenaw County.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	Rover is evaluating a reroute to follow existing easements, including the Panhandle easement and maximize use of adjacent easements. Route alternatives are addressed in RR10, filed February 2015. Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.
2/11/2015	David Daniel/Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI (Freedom Township)	20150211-5001	We have read the recently filed Vector and Rover comments. It appears an attempt is being made to transform the Rover Pipeline Project into a Vector/Rover/Nexus project. In light of this development we are urging FERC to instruct Rover to withdraw its application. The proposed Rover Project, as it has been proposed and promoted to the public from its inception, no longer exists.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	Rover is evaluating a reroute to follow existing easements, including the Panhandle easement and maximize use of adjacent easements. Route alternatives are addressed in RR10, filed February 2015. Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
2/12/2015	David Daniel/Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20150212-5054	The Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust understands that Rover feels they are on schedule to file its official application with FERC as soon as the week of 02/16/15. While we understand Rovers focus on keeping its project on track, on time, & under budget, we contend Rover has taken too many short-cuts during the pre-filing process. Rovers approach to gathering and filing information has resulted in far too many unanswered questions, incorrect data, missing information and, most importantly, specific concerns of landowners that have not been addressed at all. Meaningful studies of alternative routes have not been performed.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	Rover is evaluating a reroute to follow existing easements, including the Panhandle easement and maximize use of adjacent easements. Route alternatives are addressed in RR10, filed February 2015. Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.
2/12/2015	DavidDaniel/Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI (Freedom Township)	20150212-5178	We are requesting that FERC require Rover to disclose the volume of natural gas that is to be transported through Michigan for export to Canada and how much will stay in Michigan. These amounts should be separate of any added volume supplied via the interconnect with Vector. The reason we are asking for this information is to help stakeholders understand the current purpose and need for the Michigan Market Segment. We are also requesting that FERC instruct Rover to withdraw their current application and start a new application that more accurately discloses the current purpose and need for the project.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	Rover is evaluating a reroute to follow existing easements, including the Panhandle easement and maximize use of adjacent easements. Route alternatives are addressed in RR10, filed February 2015. Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.
2/20/2015	David Daniel- Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20150220-5136	We would like to express our concern with information contained in Rovers recently filed Draft Resource Reports. In particular we note that Rover has submitted a new map of our tract depicting a proposed minor route variation/adjustment that merely shifts much of the potential adverse impacts of the construction from one landowner onto adjacent landowners. This proposal does absolutely nothing to address the concerns we have communicated to FERC during this process. The valuable riparian habitat that we are trying to protect will be negatively impacted, in the same manner, by either the original proposed route or the variation. As true stakeholders we have been engaged in this process from the beginning and have offered well thought out suggestions concerning alternatives yet we have seen no indication that Rover has any interest in pursuing anything but their preferred route with a few minor variations.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	Rover is evaluating a reroute to follow existing easements, including the Panhandle easement and maximize use of adjacent easements. Route alternatives are addressed in RR10, filed February 2015. Purpose and need is addressed in RR1.
3/6/2015	David Daniel- Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20150306-5038	Concerns about Rover's method of developing and employing analysis criteria. Feels it may be a better idea to have FERC develop its own criteria and have the applicants analysis performed accordingly.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	No site specific comment; No response required.
3/9/2015	David Daniel- Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20150309-5034	Motion to intervene. Concerns about impacts to property use (wildlife sanctuary).	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	RR10 - Will evaluate reroute if survey permission is granted in area tracts Map – MI-WA-044. Original route was relocated to avoid running within a large county drain. Landowner Trust requested we move from his prime turkey and deer hunting location. We have not surveyed as of 4/9/2015 and we will have to survey the property before we are able to review any alternate route proposals. Market Segment MP 54.6.
3/10/2015	David Daniel- Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20150310-5159	Concerns about cumulative impacts from multiple pipelines and project necessity.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	
3/17/2015	David Daniel- Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20150317-5047	Concerns about cumulative impacts and the cumulative impacts analysis in the recently filed application.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	
3/19/2015	David Daniel- Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20150319-5007	Concerns about cumulative impacts and the cumulative impacts analysis in the recently filed application.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	
3/25/2015	David Daniel- Jeanne Littlefield Daniel Trust	Brownstown, MI	20150325-5164	Concerns about adjusted pipeline route.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	
3/30/2015	Jeanne L Daniel	Winnetka, IL	20150331-5003	Concerns about impacts to vegetation and water resources, property values and rights.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-WA-043.000	Water use and quality are addressed in RR2. Vegetation and wildlife are addressed in RR3. Property values are addressed in RR5. Land use is addressed in RR8.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
3/30/2015	Dave J. Baumberger	--	--	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	--	--	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/30/2015	David Morton	--	--	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	--	--	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/18/2015	Bill Schuette - MI Attorney General	Lansing, MI	20150318-5144	Withdrawal/admittance of individuals for the Michigan Public Service Commission in the CP15-93-000 proceedings.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	No response required.
3/24/2015	Paul Wenk - Estate of Dorothy I. Wenk	Chelsea, MI	20150325-5006	If a pipeline is really needed, please reject this route and find a better and safer route. Concerns about eminent domain, cumulative impacts from multiple pipelines on property and routing of the new pipeline.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-WA-066.510	Market Segment MP 71.0. RR10 - Route was adjusted during survey to follow existing lines. Map MI-WA-066.510, filed February 2015. We have met with Mr. Wenk on numerous occasions. The previous route strayed from the existing pipeline across his property to set up a bore to avoid having to buy a house or houses to the north. These reasons were explained on two occasions. We propose now to buy one or two houses and parallel Panhandles Eastern all the way across his property (Cultural survey permitting).
12/22/2014	Karl Roehrig	Rochester, MI	20141222-4051	Requesting that Rover Pipeline use the ITC right-of-way which is one parcel west of his property.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-WA-111.530	Market Segment MP 82.0. RR10 - Reroute not feasible. Best route selected for area. A reroute would result in impacts to areas of higher congestion. There is a county drain/creek on the east side of the property that would block the pipeline entry. There is also a drain on the west side of the property. If we did manage to go through the drain on the east side of the property, it would disrupt a house on the adjacent tract to the north. The current route is only disturbing farm land and would not affect the drains or house to the north.
3/27/2015	Karl E. Roehrig	Rochester, MI	20150327-5326	We have developmental plans for the property and this will destroy the plans for the property! It will be a huge economic and psychological loss for my brother and me! I have discussed this with no less than 4 land agents.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-WA-111.530	
3/28/2015	Sharon and Alan Fendt	Dexter, MI	20150330-5004 20150330-5005	We are writing in opposition and protest of the Rover Pipeline Project. Concerns about impacts to forests, wetlands, wildlife, and farmland.	PF14-14-000 CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-WA-111.540	Market Segment MP 82.2. Landowner would like to have the pipeline on the property line adjacent to a ditch in a wet area. Due to drainage constraints we have not been able to satisfy their requests. Water use and quality are addressed in RR2. Vegetation and wildlife are addressed in RR3. Farmland crossings procedures are addressed in the Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8.
3/6/2015	Norman S. Klein	Pinckney, MI	20150306-0008	Trustee of Putnam Township. Rover Pipeline is being routed through Putnam township rather than Pinckney due to Pinckney township spreading rumors of pollution being within the ITC easement. Wants FERC to investigate the pollution rumors. Concerns about cumulative impacts (from multiple pipelines) and effects on agriculture.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Regarding proposed Pinckney Reroute (Market Segment MP 83.9 to 86.8). Environmental impacts to wetlands and a lake caused us to look at alternate routes in the Pinckney Village area. The Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan addresses farmland crossing procedures.
12/29/2014	Richard Knopf	Pinckney, MI	20141229-0045	Proposed route displaces some houses and comes within 50 ft. of others. He has requested the prescribed safe distance for natural gas pipelines but has not received a definitive answer. There is an existing power line easement 3/4 mile to the east which Rover claims is blocked by a contaminated pond and Pinckney's desire to expand water facility. Proposed boring length on his property is done 45 ft. and then 620 ft. If Rover can bore this length across his property then they can bore under contaminated pond.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-LI-002.000	Rover proposed a reroute in the February submittal to move away from ITC in this area to avoid a contaminated site and the expansion of a public water treatment facility. From discussions with the water treatment facility, an HDD of the facility is not recommended and is against Rover policies regarding construction within or near contaminated soils.

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
2/21/2015	Richard Knopf	Pinckney, MI	20150121-0029	This is a follow-up to a previous letter sent by me earlier this year expressing the lack of concern that E. T. Rover is displaying regarding questions about why the present route is necessary when there is a 200' easement by ITC approximately 1/4 mile to the East. Rover representatives claim that restrictions will impede their installation. When I contacted ITC and talked with the Superintendent of Easements, he indicated that Rover has not contacted ITC about using the easement. A Rover representative has also told me that boring under a contaminated pond on Pinckney Village property would be "impossible" because the turn in the easement is in the water and "boring cannot be sideways". This is not correct! The easement and turning tower is on dry land. Boring could be accomplished from the north to the south turning site. He also stated that the pond is contaminated so they would not be allowed under because of the possibility of contamination getting in their pipeline excavation.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-LI-002.000	Rover proposed a reroute in the February submittal to move away from ITC in this area to avoid a contaminated site and the expansion of a public water treatment facility. From discussions with the water treatment facility, an HDD of the facility is not recommended and is against Rover policies regarding construction within or near contaminated soils. Regarding proposed Pinckney Reroute (Market Segment MP 83.9 to 86.8).
2/13/2015	Richard J. Knopf	Pinckney, MI	20150226-0052	Rover has decided to leave the ITC power lines to follow a route that is intruding on personal property because the "easement" is polluted. A portion of this site was declared a "brownfield" in the 1980s but testing by DEQ was suspended in 1998. The ITC easement is over 40 ft. from areas that were tested. ITC easement is best.	PF14-14	Landowner	MI-LI-002.000	Rover proposed a reroute in the February submittal to move away from ITC in this area to avoid a contaminated site and the expansion of a public water treatment facility. From discussions with the water treatment facility, an HDD of the facility is not recommended and is against Rover policies regarding construction within or near contaminated soils. Regarding proposed Pinckney Reroute (Market Segment MP 83.9 to 86.8).
3/28/2015	Richard J. Knopf	Pinckney, MI	20150330-5322	Concerns about proximity of residences to pipeline blast area; impact on water resources, geology, and alternative within Village of Pinckney.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-LI-002.000	
3/28/2015	Richard J. Knopf	Pinckney, MI	20150330-5331	DUPLICATE LETTER. See 20150330-5322 for comments.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-LI-002.000	
3/27/2015	Putnam Township	Putnam Township, MI	20150327-5232	Motion to intervene. Opposes certain portions of the route because they do not adequately follow existing utility or other rights-of-way. Therefore, the route may present public safety, environmental, and property value concerns.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Market Segment MPs 84.7 to 93.4 (Livingston County, including Pinckney). Alternatives are addressed in RR10. Safety and reliability are addressed in RR11. RR2 addresses water use and quality. RR3 addresses fisheries, vegetation, and wildlife. Property values are addressed in RR5.
12/18/2014	Frank Zaski	Franklin, MI	20141218-5012	Opposes on account of emissions, calculations provided. Rover would enable the emissions of 192 million tons of CO2 annually, the emissions equivalent of 55 COAL PLANTS and the SAME GHG IMPACT as the proposed Keystone XL pipeline.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Air quality is addressed in RR9.
2/2/2015	E. Burrier	Scio, OH	20150202-0081	We have five (5) pipelines and a fiber optic line going thru our property. We feel this is enough and we do not want anymore. We had a very bad experience with the last pipe line. In fact they have put a mechanical lien on our property.	PF14-14-000	--	--	Offline.
1/2/2015 3/25/2015 3/30/2015	Frank Zaski	Franklin, MI	20150102-5001 20150325-5007 20150330-5495 20150330-5496 20150330-5489	Rover has not offered compelling reasons to build this pipeline. A dated US production forecast, the desires of shippers and general industry trends are inadequate reasons to justify Rover and its environmental damage, adverse impacts on landowners and on existing pipelines and their customers. Other pipelines can handle the need.	PF14-14-000, CP15-93-000	Other	--	Purpose and need are documented in RR1.



**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
2/23/2015 3/28/2015	Kurt & Heidi Roy-Borland	Pinckney, MI	20150223-0034 20150330-5007	Motion to intervene. Concerns that the current route would have negative impacts on the area's environment and human inhabitants. Appealing to FERC and PHMSA to establish regulations for new pipelines of this magnitude, and that they be placed in an alternative utility corridor that protects the public, establishes new setbacks for residential housing, protects ecosystems such as the Portage Creek, and recognizes the importance of historic/archaeological assets such as the Potawatomi Canoe Trail.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-LI-003.000	Market Segment MP 85.0. Affected by the Pinckney Reroute. The ITC corridor was deemed to have more of an environmental impact through certain parcels in the Village of Pinckney. The route currently pursued lessens impact. The ITC route in this area impacts more wetlands (2) and a lake. Cultural and historical resources are addressed in RR4. Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11. Impacts to waterbodies are addressed in RR3.
3/30/2015	Kurt & Heidi Roy-Borland	Pinckney, MI	20150330-5100	Motion to Intervene due to the lack of a coherent route developed by the ET Rover Company (Rover Company) that fails to take into account safety, environmental, archaeological interests; although an alternative route, previously explored and abandoned, exists.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-LI-003.000	
2/25/2015 3/29/2015	Kurt & Heidi Roy-Borland	Pinckney, MI	20150225-0029 20150330-5206 20150330-5212 20150330-5324	Motion to intervene. We are moving to intervene with this proceeding and appealing to FERC and PHMSA to establish reasonable regulations for new pipelines of this magnitude, and that they be placed in an alternative utility corridor that protects U.S. Citizens, establishes new setbacks for all neighboring residential housing, protects fragile ecosystems such as the Portage Creek, and recognizes the importance of historic/archaeological assets such as the Potawatomi Canoe Trail. The alternative utility corridor would protect pipelines from unintentional (accidental ruptures) and intentional (terrorist) breaches.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-LI-003.000	
3/29/2015	Kurt & Heidi Roy-Borland	Pinckney, MI	20150330-5208 20150330-5212 20150330-5324	Motion to intervene. Concerns that the current route would have negative impacts on the area's environment and human inhabitants. Also see P90.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-LI-003.000	
3/29/2015	Kurt & Heidi Roy-Borland	Pinckney, MI	20150330-5328	Motion to intervene. See concerns in 20150330-5208; 20150330-5212; 20150330-5324; 20150223-00341. Also concerns about necessity and reliability of the Rover Pipeline project.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-LI-003.000	
2/25/2015	Heidi Roy-Borland	Pinckney, MI	20150225-0029	Requests that FERC redo scoping due to Vector partnership and requests Rover look at reroutes using other pipeline partnerships.	PF14-14-000	Landowner	MI-LI-003.000	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/30/2015	Robert Stephens	Howell, MI	20150330-5435	I have just received notification of the above proposed pipeline. Comment date for this project is March 30, 2015. As an affected landowner, I strongly object to being provided with inadequate information about the project when the comment date is the very date the inadequate information was received. Furthermore, as an affected landowner, I strongly object to the project in question. The proposed route for this project goes through wetlands that should be protected. Also, the noise of pump stations would be very objectionable in an environmentally sensitive area.	CP15-93-000	Abutter	MI-LI-065.320-ADJ	Market Segment, Vicinity of MP 95. about 0.3 mi east and off line. Landowner is 1,800 feet east of pipeline. Adjacent mail out recipient. No pumping stations or compressor stations proposed in Michigan. Water use and quality are addressed in RR2.
3/30/2015	Frank Munsell	Fowlerville, MI	20150330-5455	Property is already burdened with Vector pipelines that have caused damage to his and family properties. Concerned that additional damage will result.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-LI-086.000, MI-LI-087.000, MI-LI-083.560	Market Segment MP 100.0. Near revised termination point. Family properties in area. RR10 - This landowner is near the Vector interconnect and the reroute for the Vector interconnect is being evaluated pending weather and survey permission.
3/26/2015	Gordon R. Munsell	Fowlerville, MI	20150326-5138	Concerns about following a power line and route proximity to Losco County Drain No. 1 and resulting impacts to water.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	MI-LI-083.560 MI-LI-083.356-ADJ	Market Segment MP 100. Adjacent to existing easement on potential reroute. Rover will stay minimum distance from top bank of drain as mandated by regulations. Rover is crossing at the least impactful angle and will use the best construction method.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
3/30/2015	Mark Amicone	Shelby, Ohio	20150330-5044	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Landowner	Unknown	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/30/2015	Mark Amicone	Shelby, Ohio	20150330-5106	Motion to intervene. - Duplicate	CP15-93-000	Landowner	Unknown	Duplicate. No response required.
<b>Miscellaneous</b>								
12/18/2014	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Huntington, WV	20141218-5351	USACE hasn't completed review of resource Reports. Will provide more detailed comments upon completion. Project requires Section 404 and Section 10 authorizations so a DA Permit will be required. Requires coordination with multiple districts. Provided an enclosure of list for FERC review to assist with scoping and evaluation for preparation of NEPA documents.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Chicago, IL	20141218-5304	Provided a list of issues for consideration during the scoping process in preparation of the EIS.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Ellis Boal - Ban Michigan Fracking	Charlevoix, MI	20141218-5239	Nonprofit organization in Michigan. Opposes the Rover pipeline because it will facilitate and enable fracking and other forms of fossil fuel development in the Marcellus region, which will exacerbate the world's -- and consequently Michigan's -- current trajectory toward catastrophic global warming. Upset that they were denied space to set up a table at Town Hall meetings.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No site specific comments; No response required.
12/18/2014	Associated General Contractors of America	USA	20141218-4036	General Contractors of America supports the pipeline. Boost to economy and the jobs that will be created.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Jimmy Stewart, Ohio Gas Association	Dublin, OH	20141218-4028	Supports project, will create jobs and reliable gas supplies. Excellent safety record of pipelines.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/18/2014	Rita A Berzin	Ramsey, NJ	20141218-5179	Pipeline is dangerous and reluctant to build home now on her land, worried about seepage into nearby creek and land. Property is issued for farmland and worried about contaminated crops. Property was investment for future retirement home and devalues property.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Commenter was not identified as an affected landowner. No contact information was provided to clarify property location. RR11 addresses reliability and safety. Crossing of agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. Property values are addressed in RR5.
12/22/2014	Department of Interior	Philadelphia, PA	20141222-5336	Response to request for comments on environmental issues within the Rover Pipeline Project to help the staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS).	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/22/2014	Brigham A. McCown at FERC Hearing	--	20141222-4059	FERC hearing, testified for the proposed Rover Pipeline. Discussed pipeline safety, especially as it applies to the Rover Pipeline Project.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/22/2014	Michigan Chemistry Council	--	20141222-4044	Michigan Chemistry Council statement of support of expansion of infrastructure.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/22/2014	Lets Ban Fracking Michigan	--	20141222-4040	Sent a newsletter regarding fracking.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No site specific comments; No response required.
12/22/2014	Leatra Harper	--	20141222-4009	Ban on fracking newsletter and other environmental newsletter submissions.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No site specific comments; No response required.
12/22/2014	Michigan Forest Products Council / Scott Robins	--	20141222-4016	Supports project and the boost will provide to economy.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
12/22/2014	Bradley Belden, Belden Brick Company / Energy Committee of Ohio Manufacturer's Association	Navarre, OH	20141222-4013	Supports the project, their business relies heavily on affordable & stable natural gas prices. Before shale gas increased the domestic supply of natural gas, there were moments where the high price of natural gas threatened their business. Rover Project stands to benefit manufacturing in Ohio and throughout the Midwest.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.

Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
12/29/2014	Terry Lodge	Freshwater Accountability Project (Terry J. Lodge)	20141229-5013	Combined scoping comments of Ohio's environmental organizations. There are several competing pipelines in this area which pose incremental environmental problems. Farms, wetlands, and woodlands will be carved up by pipelines. Projects on the scale of Rover and Nexus should not come through Northwest Ohio because of the certain geologic instability. The Bowling Green Fault transects Wood County; the land is riddled with hundreds of unstable Karst formations, and in Wood and Hancock counties, there are tens of thousands of abandoned oil and gas wells, the locations of most of which are unknown, which could figure into the stability of the new lines	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Karst Mitigation Plan, Rover Plan, Rover Procedures, and Ag. Impact Mitigation Plans are in RR1, APP1B. RR6 addresses geological resources and oil and gas wells.
12/29/2014	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Chicago, IL	20141229-0027	Completed review of FERC NOI to prepare an EIS and to assist in the scoping process for this project. For consideration in preparing the EIS provided detailed comments.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
3/2/2015	Amalie Lipstreu (OEFTA)	Columbus, OH	20150302-5291 20150303-5187	Represents Ohio Ecological Food and Farm Association (OEFTA). Opposes project as pipeline would negatively impact agriculture and organic farms. Concerns about need, eminent domain, climate change. If pipeline goes forward, pipeline should avoid crossings of organic farms. If organic farms crossed, implement organic AIM Plan, train construction workers about organic AIM Plan, and document that training.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Crossing of agricultural land is addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8. No organic farm crossings are known along the Project route.
2/4/2015	Terry J. Lodge Freshwater Accountability Project, Project of Grand Rapids, Ohio; Food and Water Watch of Cincinnati, Ohio; Neighbors Against Nexus of Swanton, Ohio; the Buckeye Forest Council, of Columbus, Ohio; and Concerned Citizens of Medina County, Ohio	Various, OH	20150204-0008	Specifically, we request that FERC immediately intercede to halt high-pressure tactics which are being routinely used against the public to force consent to easements for pipeline routes. We urgently request that FERC revoke the November 2014 Notice of Intent published in the Rover case, and that in all future public notices, FERC state clearly that it unconditionally prohibits corporate pipeline applicants from undertaking any acquisition efforts unless and until FERC has granted a convenience/necessity certificate. Finally, we ask that FERC publicly order the pipeline companies to halt all acquisition negotiations before issuance of an actual certificate.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No site specific comments; No response required.
2/9/2015	Vector Pipeline (Pete Cianci)	Livonia, MI	20150209-4007	Notification of plans for expansion of system based on Precedent Agreements between Nexus and Rover.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.
2/23/2015	Lynd, Mitch - Midwest Apple Improvement Association	Newcomerstown, OH	20150223-0049	Midwest Apple established a planting of 1,200 apple trees (each genetically unique) at the Johnston Fruit Farm, Swanton, OH. I sincerely hope an alternative route will be chosen because while I agree with the need for the pipeline I want to see it completed at a reasonable cost to all involved. The location surely can't be through an expensive apple tree breeding project that after 10 years of planning and initial investment is on the edge of yielding its first results.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Swanton, OH is approximately 18.5 miles east of Market Segment MP 19.0. It is very near NEXUS pipeline. Rover believes that commenter intended to comment on PF15-10-000 for the Nexus Project, which is in close proximity to Johnston Fruit Farm. See Martha Mora PF15-10-000 (Nexus) comments on behalf of Johnston Fruit Farm: 20150128-5005, 20150211-5006. Also see Litch Lynd's PF15-10-000 comment 20150310-0040).

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
2/9/2015	John Rossodivito	Medina, OH	20150209-5033	Pursuant to the filing of this Rulemaking Change petition, I am hereby demanding that your Commission withdraw the Pre-filing Application for the Nexus Gas Transmission Pipeline, Docket # PF15-10-000, and any other large diameter transmission pipelines currently before your Commission, and put them on indefinite hold status until PHMSA reviews and makes a final decision on the petition to change 49 CFR 195.210 regarding safe and responsible setbacks for large diameter pipelines. Your failure to do so would be potentially putting numerous residences and other structures, as well as thousands of lives under unnecessary threat of danger.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11.
3/6/2015	Jim May	Summerfield, OH	20150306-5124	In vicinity of past pipeline construction where roads have been shut down for up to 45 minutes for loading/unloading. Recommend: 1) all contractors keep at least one lane open for traffic during construction, 2) maintain 25 mph on county and township roads (roads are narrow and winding), 3) no "jake-braking" or "no engine braking" signs be posted and used, and 4) Rover provide contact telephone numbers to all through general advertisement in local newspapers for four weeks.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Seneca and Berne Laterals MP 0.0. No site specific comment; No response required.
2/23/2015	Sierra Club Michigan Chapter - Nancy Shiffler	Lansing, MI	20150223-5042	Energy Transfer recently announced that it has entered into a contract to use existing Vector Pipeline capacity in lieu of the portion of the proposed new Rover pipeline that runs through Shiawassee, Genesee, Lapeer, Oakland, Macomb, and St. Clair counties. We believe this change in the Rover plan is sufficient for FERC to take the following actions: 1) FERC should re-open the scoping process for this project, with new public hearings. Potential changes in Rover's pipeline route through Michigan's Lenawee, Washtenaw, and Livingston Counties and the unknowns concerning Vector's plans for expanding its existing pipeline represent new information that the public was unable to address in the original scoping hearings. Consequently, new hearings are needed to allow the public to be informed of and to comment on the proposed changes. 2) Given the interrelationships among Rover, Vector, and the proposed Nexus pipeline, FERC should institute a Programmatic EIS that encompasses all of these pipelines. Vector is already a partner in the Nexus pipeline proposal and has additional connections with the existing ANR pipeline which runs to the southwest from Michigan. Along with its Rover proposal which is essentially parallel to the proposed Nexus route, ET has 100% ownership of the existing Panhandle Eastern pipeline, which runs southwest from Michigan. Rather than evaluating these proposals in a separate, piecemeal fashion, FERC should be assessing the need for and the cumulative impacts of the projects as a whole.	PF14-14-000	Other	--	Purpose and need is documented in RR1. Alternate routes are addressed in RR10.
2/26/2015	Consumers Energy Company	Michigan	20150226-5200	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	No response required.
3/3/2015	Goldman & Braunstein, LLP	Columbus, OH	20150303-5148	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Filing on behalf of "numerous" landowners in OH.
3/3/2015	Goldman & Braunstein, LLP	Columbus, OH	20150303-5149	Motion to intervene. (Privileged)	CP15-93-000	Other	--	No response required.
3/9/2015	Michigan Public Service Commission	Michigan	20150309-5232	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	No response required.
3/11/2015	American Energy - Utica, LLC	Ohio	20150311-5269	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	No response required.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
3/30/2015	Sierra Club - MI Chapter	Lansing, MI	20150330-5116	In summary, we oppose this project as having little or no demonstrated "public convenience or necessity" in light of the potential environmental and human costs. FERC appears to have a very limited view of its responsibilities for rationalizing pipeline capacity or addressing climate change and cumulative environmental damage. Consequently, we believe this project should be denied.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Purpose and need are documented in RR1.
3/30/2015	John & Hengerer, Counsel for Vector Pipeline L.P.	Washington, D.C.	20150330-5195, 20150330-5211	Motion for Leave to Intervene and Comments in Support of Vector Pipeline L.P.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Transporter. No response required.
3/30/2015	Allegheny Defense Project, Fresh Water Accountability Project, Heartwood and Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition	OR, OH, VA, and WV	20150330-5490	FERC must prepare a programmatic environmental impact statement for jurisdictional infrastructure projects that are intended to increase takeaway capacity from the Marcellus and Utica shale formations..... These PEISs demonstrate that FERC is clearly capable of performing a similar analysis in relation to infrastructure projects that are connecting Marcellus and Utica shale gas supplies to market areas. A PEIS would be very useful in order to "maximize the mitigation of associated environmental impacts." By refusing to look at the impacts of infrastructure projects on a regional level, FERC lacks a baseline that is necessary for measuring the impacts of future site specific projects.	CP15-93-000, CP15-94-000, CP15-96-000	Other	--	No site specific comments; No response required.
3/20/2015	Heartwood	Charlottesville, VA	20150320-5247	Motion to intervene. Heartwood is a regional network that protects forests and supports community activism in the eastern U.S. Approval of projects in the Marcellus and Utica shales" poses significant risks to the hardwood forests in PA, OH, and WV	CP15-93-000	Other	--	General objections to pipelines; No response required.
3/20/2015	Heartwood	Charlottesville, VA	20150320-5261	Motion to intervene (duplicates). See 20150320-5247.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Duplicate. No response required.
3/23/2015	Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition	Huntington, WV	20150323-5081	Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition (OVEC) is a grassroots conservation organization headquartered in Huntington, WV and dedicated to the improvement and preservation of the environment through education. Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	General objections to pipelines; No response required.
3/23/2015	NJR Energy Services Company	--	20150323-5116	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Potential Customer; No response required.
3/23/2015	Independent Oil & Gas Association of West Virginia	--	20150323-5195	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Potential Shipper; No response required.
3/23/2015	Freshwater Accountability Project	Grand Rapids, OH	20150323-5077	Freshwater Accountability Project (FWAP) is a grassroots conservation organization headquartered in Grand Rapids, OH and dedicated to educating people about freshwater supplies. FWAP does not support these projects. Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000, CP15-94-000, CP15-96-000	Other	--	General objections to pipelines; No response required.
3/23/2015	Allegheny Defense Project	Portland, OR	20150323-5080	Allegheny Defense Project is a grassroots conservation organization headquartered in Kane, PA and dedicated to the protection and restoration of the Allegheny bioregion. Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	General objections to pipelines; No response required.
3/30/2015	Lynn Lewis, U.S Fish & Wildlife Service	Bloomington, MN	20150330-5500	The Service has reviewed the application for construction and operation of the Rover Pipeline. We have been working with the applicant, Rover, to address endangered species and migratory bird concerns. The purpose of this letter is to document our ongoing conversations and data requests with the applicant.	CP15-93-000, PF14-14-000, CP15-94-000, CP15-96-000	Other	--	No response required.
3/26/2015	Antero Resources Corporation	--	20150326-5054	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Potential Shipper; No response required.
3/27/2015	EQT Energy, LLC	--	20150327-5012	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Potential Shipper; No response required.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
3/27/2015	Natural Gas Supply Association	--	20150327-5211	In order for Americans to take full advantage of the benefits offered by abundant natural gas supplies, additional natural gas infrastructure must be in place to transport natural gas from the wellhead to consumers. Unnecessary delays in building needed pipelines and related facilities will only hurt the American businesses and households. For these reasons, we encourage the Commission to give this project and all pipeline applications serious consideration to ensure that natural gas infrastructure is built that will allow us to continue to provide natural gas to our customers and to help meet the country's need for reliable and clean energy.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	No response required.
3/16/2015	Ann M. Keefe - Sierra Club Member	--	20150316-0043	Several out of state pipelines are in planning stages to cross NW Ohio. These are proposed at 42" lines under pressure, and will cross not only roads but also under many waterways including the Maumee River. Why should private companies benefit at the expense of the communities and private landowners who will have to repair field and storm tiles? If one should blow, an entire several thousand feet area - water, homes, roads, and bridges may go too.	PF15-10-000 CP15-93-000	Other	--	Reliability and safety are addressed in RR11. Crossing of agricultural land and drain tiles are addressed in Ag. Impact Mitigation Plan, RR7, and RR8.
3/30/2015	Conoco Phillips Company (Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP)	Washington, D.C.	20150330-5483	ConocoPhillips requests that, if the Commission issues a certificate of public convenience and necessity, the Commission condition any rolled-in rate determination on the absence of a significant change in circumstances when Panhandle seeks rolled-in rate treatment in any future rate proceeding. ConocoPhillips also requests that the Commission require Panhandle to account for construction and operating costs and revenues associated with the proposed project, consistent with Section 154.309 of the Commission's regulations.	CP15-94-000	Other	--	No response required at this time.
3/27/2015	Murray Energy Corporation	St. Clairsville, OH	20150327-5152	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Currently working with Alex O'Neill of Murray Energy regarding surveys, routing, and other negotiations. Meetings are ongoing and have been very productive. Murray Energy owns many tracts along the Supply Laterals.
3/27/2015	First Energy Service Company	--	20150327-5209	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Rover has been in contact with John Chluda of Ohio Edison company. Ohio Edison company authorized survey permission and is working with Rover regarding their tracts on the Ohio side of the Ohio river. Their concern is that Rover not impede a large tract adjacent to the multiple smaller tracts Rover is crossing where they intend to build a power station.
3/27/2015	Dena E. Wiggins Natural Gas Supply Association	--	20150327-5211	Comments in support of the Rover Pipeline Project.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	No response required.
3/30/2015	SWN Energy Services Company	--	20150330-5122	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Potential Shipper; No response required.
3/30/2015	Rice Energy Marketing LLC	--	20150330-5126	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Potential Shipper; No response required.

**Summary of Comments Received: December 18, 2014 through March 31, 2015**

Date of Letter (FERC)	Commenter	Town, State	FERC ID Number	Comment	Docket No.	Landowner/ Abutter/ Other	Tract No.	Comment/Response
3/30/2015	SWN Energy Services Company, LLC	--	20150330-5143 20150330-5225 20150330-5232 20150330-5237 20150330-5245 20150330-5308 20150330-5156 20150330-5159 20150330-5175 20150330-5187 20150330-5188 20150330-5193	Motion to intervene. Privileged information.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Privileged. No response required.
3/30/2015	Rice Energy Marketing LLC	--	20150330-5150	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Duplicate. No response required.
3/30/2015	Rice Energy Marketing LLC	--	20150330-5174	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Duplicate. No response required.
3/30/2015	Rice Energy Marketing LLC	--	20150330-5178	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Duplicate. No response required.
3/30/2015	Vector Pipeline L.P.	--	20150330-5194	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Transporter. No response required.
3/30/2015	Vector Pipeline L.P.	--	20150330-5195	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Transporter. No response required.
3/30/2015	Sequent Energy Management, L.P.	--	20150330-5397	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	No response required.
3/30/2015	Range Resources Appalachia, LLC	--	20150330-5512	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	Potential Shipper. No response required.
3/30/2015	Ohio Farm Bureau Federation, Inc.	--	20150330-5522	Motion to intervene. Ohio Farm Bureau Federation Inc. maintains a non-profit organization representing agricultural interests.	CP15-93-000	Other	--	No specific comments. No response required.
3/30/2015	East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion East Ohio	--	20150330-5535	Motion to intervene.	CP15-93-000 PF14-14-000	Other	--	No response required.